

Beaty Street Roundtables, May 17, 2017

Topic: Parks

Amenities/Type of park

- Playground in the trees for young and younger
- Keep it primarily passive
- Path all around the pond
- Tennis court, no lights
- Keep trees
- Event space, outdoor pavilion, outdoor multi-use space
- More active space
- Keep pond
- Passive vs. active
- Let people be aware of connectivity, pedestrian access, more pathways for access
- Desire more of a “park”
- Walking trail around the pond – “natural”
- Another pathway parallel to tracks, buffer of nature
- Add active vs. “garage”
- Nature preserve, playgrounds
- Trees, walking paths
- Green space
- Accessible
- Active at the corner, passive below
- Central park
- Keep pond
- Accessible to public
- Neighborhood park (e.g. Latta Park)
- Active – courts (tennis and basketball)
- Passive is all land allows
- Athletic fields and park
- Tennis courts and facilities
- Do a Bailey Springs-type park here because this is walkable and close to transit
- Tennis courts, basketball
- More wooded like Latta Park (1 tennis court, 1 basketball court), not manicured like Roosevelt Wilson Park
- A place to walk in the woods – no courts, no active
- Cut down as few trees as possible and plant more
- Sidewalks to get to park space if supposed to be public
- Need to access from North Main Street via walking path

- Path should go all the way around the pond
- Nature preserve park, less manicured, no fountain in pond
- Community center
- Active recreation areas; something like Robbins Park and Blythe Landing
- Multi-age recreation/active space, older kids and high schoolers
- Tennis courts and basketball courts
- Nature placards
- Develop it like Bailey Road Park in Cornelius
- Boy/girl scout hut
- Lecture/performance space
- Zipline
- Pedestrian bridge
- Community recreation center instead of hotel/multi-family/condos
- Inside recreation center: Davidson Community Players theater DavidsonLearns classrooms, art space, basketball, pool
- Appropriate lighting
- Add disc golf to Abersham, Fisher Farm, Alison
- Programming for natural space – trails, bird walks and talks, educational signage
- Community garden
- Tennis/basketball/skate
- Green view/trees off Main Street
- Play structure
- Sand volleyball
- Dog park
- Lighting (good and throughout)
- Bike trails
- Pickle ball
- Hobbs – Maintain main big tree
- Large park nature passive
- Active – fields/ track
- Walking trails
- Natural recreation – tree house, high ropes bridges
- Parking
- Multiple access points into park
- Save trees by front of park (main)
- Mirror Robbins Park
- Move or put park where more development of park amenities can be done
- Save canopy – especially large old trees that make land unique
- Replanting of smaller trees lost
- Walking trails

- Nature Center
- Arts and theater building
- Active – tennis, basketball
- Multi ages
- No adequate parking – parking for park
- Keep pond alive and do not damage
- Keep park, use taxpayer money to develop
- Picnic area
- Community center for all ages
- Maintain tree canopy due to aesthetics and it being a kids playground
- Active courts (tennis, basketball, etc.) while maintaining as much tree canopy
- Latta Park, Edge Hill, Kannapolis park, Holbrook (Huntersville) – develop similar to them
- Park used for events (birthdays, weddings, etc.)
- Amphitheater
- Active – basketball
- Large Bailey Springs Park with mix of active and passive
- Fishing/dock
- Mirror Robbins Park
- Active space for joint use (stadium/track)
- Save specimen trees (corner of Hobbs deadend)
- Tree canopy remain for wildlife
- Senior Center
- Tennis court on parking lot

Size of park:

- Much of the land will need to be passive, 6 ½ acres
- Delete C1-4 (condos) and add event space
- Make residential areas a park
- More “civic” usage and park areas
- Large as possible
- No development – park
- Close to all 19 acres as is
- Expand park, no C4 (multi-family)
- Expand park around Hobbs Hill
- Larger park
- Sold to town with intention to be a park (whole property), integrity violation
- Develop whole property as a park
- Park or nature preserve to serve community (whole property)
- Combination of active/passive park (whole property) – tennis courts, gaga pit, basketball court
- 10+ acres of park

- Intent of sale of land to be a park, but no covenant language to enforce
- If we sell, we'll never get it back, can't buy this size land for same money elsewhere in town
- Keep hotel, delete multi-family, develop that area to overlook pond with park amenities
- Keep entire property as park as intended at purchase
- Utilize Beaty space for larger park area
- All park
- More park, less building
- More park space
- Put more into park
- Proposal to make all park
- All for community use
- Go back to drawing board and utilize Davidson Parks & Recreation to design full park
- All park
- All park
- Consistent to town prior to master plan and Davidson master plan
- Consistent with intent of sale
- As per when the town said it would be a park? (usable, accessible public park)

Other:

- Consider RFP proposal #2
- Concentrate density "north"
- What is goal for park? Town owned is different
- A ditch does not equal a park
- Topographical issues
- Town own property
- Shouldn't be developed because of traffic
- Dedicate park to Clontz family
- Concern about ratio of pervious/impervious and how storm water is handled
- Need a water plan – drainage, how does pond get water in and out, what's the annual water situation?
- Ability to provide revenue for park
- Leverage scouts, trail builders
- Pedestrian safety and ability to cross street
- This side of town is not connected to other town parks
- Flip affordable housing with some of park
- Underwriter – Ingersoll Rand, Valspar, MSC
- Tax increase to pay for park
- Utilize businesses to pay for park
- Don't label square a park
- Pedestrian/bike friendly, not have to use car

- Who selected this choice?
- Alternative development
- Keep pond, parks and buildings by North Main Street, delete other buildings, keep affordable housing
- Concerned about wildlife if developed
- Not crazy about hotel
- Move affordable housing to another part (closer to multi-family housing)
- Afraid to go onto current property
- If not developed, lack of incoming tax revenue
- Cost to develop park and maintain?
- Do mixed-use with more park space
- Too dense, too much traffic
- Take out villas
- No on-street parking on Beaty
- Satellite photo on plan misrepresents actual tree canopy
- Park in wetlands; limited use
- Ravine
- No clear cutting – follow same rules as residents re: trees