

TOWN OF DAVIDSON PLANNING BOARD Board Room Davidson Town Hall August 26, 2019

PLANNING BOARD MEETING - 6:00 PM

(Held in the Town Hall Board Room)

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. SILENT ROLL CALL AND DETERMINATION OF QUORUM
- III. CHANGES TO THE AGENDA
- IV. REVIEW/APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES
 - (a) Review/Approval of the July 29, 2019 Minutes
- V. B.O.C. LIAISON REPORT
- VI. OLD BUSINESS
 - (a) Davidson Bay Master Plan Amendment FYI
 - (b) DPO 9 Tree Canopy, Preservation, & Screening Text Amendments

VII. NEWBUSINESS

(a) Kistler Farm Rd. Master Plan (Davidson Farms)

VIII. OTHER ITEMS

- IX. PERMITACTIVITY REPORT
 - (a) Quarterly Permit Report
- X. PLANNING STAFF REPORT
- XI. B.O.C. LIAISON SELECTION
- XII. ADJOURNMENT



Agenda Title: Review/Approval of the July 29, 2019 Minutes

Summary: The Planning Board will review and consider approval of the previous meeting's minutes.

ATTACHMENTS:

DescriptionUpload DateType□ July 29, 2019 Minutes8/22/2019Exhibit

MEETING MINUTES

Planning Board Town of Davidson, NC July 29, 2019

A meeting of the Davidson Planning Board was held at 6:00 p.m. in the Davidson Town Hall Board Room.

I. CALL TO ORDER: 6:03 pm

II. SILENT ROLL CALL AND DETERMINATION OF QUORUM

- Present Board Members: Susan Cooke; Kelly Ross; Matt Dellinger (Chair); Ellen Donaldson; Shawn Copeland; Nora Barger; Bob Miller; Mike Minett; Kate Barr; Lindsey Williams; John Swope; Michael Flake
- Absent Board Members: None
- Town Representatives: Trey Akers, Travis Johnson, Lindsay Laird
- III. CHANGES TO THE AGENDA: N/A

IV. REVIEW/APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

- **a.** Approval of Minutes from June 24th, 2019: Planning Board members voted to approve the June 2019 Minutes.
 - Motion to Approve: Susan Cooke
 - Second: Ellen Donaldson

Vote: 12-0 (Minutes Approved. Absent: None)

- V. B.O.C. LIAISON REPORT: None
- VI. OLD BUSINESS:
 - a. <u>Certified Local Government Model Ordinance: FYI Presentation</u>: Planner Lindsay Laird provided an overview of the town's recently-attained Certified Local Government (CLG) status. Laird explained how the CLG program assists the development, maintenance and enrichment of local historic preservation programs in cooperation with state and federal preservation programs. Board members discussed how the proposed model ordinance fits into this program; the progress of the historic properties inventory underway; and the board(s) responsible for administering the ordinance (e.g. the Historic Preservation Commission, a sub-set of the Design Review Board).
 - **b.** <u>DPO 9 Update</u>: Senior Planner Trey Akers provided an updated on the proposed text amendments for Davidson Planning Ordinance Section 9, which covers Tree Canopy, Landscaping, & Screening. Members discussed the roles envisioned for the Arborist, including the importance of establishing a clear timeline in application processing. Additionally, members recommended: considering a tiered civil penalty schedule for non-master plan lots; expansion of uses considered exempt to include more rural land uses (e.g. stables/riding academy, agricultural operations, farms); carefully considering the amendment's application to rural landowners; and, alignment of the implementation/effective date with an educational campaign for landowners. Ultimately, the Planning Board voted on the following motion: *The Planning Board does not recommend approval*

at this time pending further improvements to the proposed amendments as recommend by the Planning Board Ordinance Committee and Planning Board, with the intent to make a full recommendation at its August meeting.

Motion to Further Research/Improve Amendments: Mike Minett

Second: Susan Cooke

Vote: 12-0 (Motion Approved. Absent: None)

VII. NEW BUSINESS: N/A

- VIII. PLANNING STAFF REPORT: Senior Planners Trey Akers and Travis Johnson provided an update on the Comprehensive Plan and Mobility Plan, respectively. Board members also asked about the conditional threshold policy being explored by the Board of Commissioners: Members asked about the timeline for developing this policy as well as whether this was included in the comprehensive planning recommendations and, if so, why it was proceeding ahead of the comprehensive plan's adoption. Lastly, members discussed the Board of Commissioners' water/sewer approval policy. Specifically, members asked about the history of the policy, the criteria used by the Board of Commissioners in making a determination, how/whether the policy has been applied consistently to various projects, and potential conflicts between the policy and ordinance requirements.
- **IX. B.O.C. LIAISON SELECTION:** Ellen Donaldson and Matt Dellinger were nominated to present the Planning Board's discussion of the DPO 9 text amendments at the next Board of Commissioners meeting.

X. ADJOURNMENT: 9:11 pm

Motion to Adjourn: Shawn Copeland

Second: Kelly Ross

APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

Signature/Date
Matt Dellinger
Planning Board Chair



Agenda Title: Davidson Bay Master Plan Amendment - FYI

Summary: Planner Lindsay Laird will provide a brief overview of the proposed amendment to the

Davidson Bay Master Plan. No board action is required.

ATTACHMENTS:

Description **Upload Date** Type

Davidson Bay Master Plan Amendment -8/22/2019 Memo

Cover Memo



STAFF ANALYSIS

Date: August 26, 2019
To: Planning Board

From: Jason Burdette, Planning Director

Re: Davidson Bay Phase II, Master Plan Amendment

1. INTRODUCTION

APPLICANT INFO

Owners: Beaty Street Development, LLC (Dominic Liburdi)

Developer:
 J. Bart Hopper (Hopper Communities LLC)

Consultant: Sean Paone (Cole Jenest & Stone)
 Location: 725 Beaty St. (Parcel ID: 00328269)

Planning Area(s): Lakeshore (vested)

Area: 4.8+/- acres

BACKGROUND

Davidson Bay Phases I and II were approved in 2000, and 2007, with 82 and 198 units (minimum), respectively. Collectively, the development was approved for 280 units (minimum). The initial approval also included +/-8,000 sf for mixed use/retail and +/-43,200 sf for mixed use/commercial (total: +/- 51,200 sf).

Over the years, the master plan has been amended several times to adjust unit counts and retail/commercial requirements. Section 14 of the Davidson Planning Ordinance (DPO) permits an administrative approval of a master plan amendment if proposed unit counts or non-residential density were below identified thresholds (i.e. an increase/decrease of more than 15 percent of the non-residential square footage; an increase/decrease of more than 10 percent of the residential units). Note: the original approval numbers for residential units and non-residential square footage are the basis number for which any proposed amendments must reference. This prevents incremental adjustments from circumventing the threshold limitations.

Any proposed master plan amendment that exceeds the administrative thresholds permitted by the DPO shall be considered "substantial" and must be reviewed and approved/denied by the board or agency which originally approved the plan. In this instance, the original approving body is the Davidson Board of Commissioners.

2. PLANNING STAFF PRELIMINARY REVIEW

REQUEST

Hopper Communities requests to reduce the proposed unit count in Building Envelopes B+C and commercial density in Building Envelope A.

Per development notes, Building Envelope B was approved as Senior Housing with 48-60 units (2007) and 60-80 units (2010). Building Envelope C was approved 13 townhomes or live/work (2007) and 18-24 apartments/townhouses with parking on lower level (2010).

Per development notes, Building Envelope A was approved (2007) as a commercial mixed-use node but not limited to retail and office. A building height of three stories was permitted totaling +/-51,000 sf in two or more buildings. Upper floor residential was permitted and six units were planned. In 2010, a reduction in density to +/-38,000sf was approved for Building Envelope A, though the six residential units remained unchanged.

Hopper Communities' proposal includes 56 townhomes, two quadplex buildings and +/-20,000 sf of commercial space (See Davidson Bay Phase II Master Plan Amendment Site Plan). This reduction of residential units and retail/commercial square footage falls outside the administrative approval thresholds based upon original approval numbers: 280 residential units; +/-51,200 sf mixed use.

If approved, total unit count for Davidson Bay Phases I and II would be reduced from 280 proposed units to 245 units. Envelope A+B+C's unit count would be reduced from 78-108 units, to 64. Commercial square footage in Envelope A would be reduced from the originally approved +/-51,200 sf (and amended in 2010 to +/-38,000 sf) to +/-20,000 sf.

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

CONTEXT

The proposed development is in keeping with the larger residential subdivision's predominant use—a mix of townhomes and single-family detached housing. The 4.8+/- acre site is bound to the east by Beaty Street, the south by townhomes across Armour Street, the west by Naples Drive, and the north by single family detached housing. The property consists of one parcel that is currently vacant with a stand of pine trees along Beaty Street and most of the northern property boundary. The remainder of the site is currently cleared.

LAND USE

The subject parcel is currently located in the Village Infill Planning Area with a portion along Beaty Street located in the Neighborhood Services Planning Area. The subject site is vested Lakeshore Planning Area under the previously approved master plan for Davidson Bay Phase II. The proposed master plan amendment for this site illustrates 56 townhome units and two attached homes (quadplex buildings; 4 units each or 8 units total). Both townhomes and attached homes are permitted building types in the Lakeshore Planning Area. Townhomes interior to the site are designed to front a pedestrian way and public park space, while

townhomes and attached homes throughout the remainder of the site are designed to front "neighborhood yield" streets or existing streets.

A +/-20,000 sf commercial storefront building is also proposed at the corner of Beaty Street and Armour Street. The storefront building type is permitted in the Lakeshore Planning Area. This building will be designed to front Armour and Beaty Streets with parking to the rear. Formalized on-street parking will also be provided along Armour Street and Beaty Street.

ACCESS & TRANSPORTATION

The proposed street network contains two street types: neighborhood yield (Road A) and alley street cross-sections. Road A will connect through the site from Beaty Street to Naples Drive. Road A will have two travel lanes with informal on-street parking along one side of the street. Road A will also have street trees and sidewalks along both sides of the street. Alleys will connect throughout the site and will be located to the rear of all townhome buildings.

Sidewalks will be located along all streets. Sidewalks will also connect around the public park space and from the park to the commercial and quadplex buildings.

A Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) is not required. This portion of Davidson Bay is part of a larger, previously approved master plan (Davidson Bay Phase II) and proposes a unit count/commercial square footage reduction below the already agreed upon ranges.

PARKING

A minimum of one parking space per dwelling unit and two parking spaces per 1,000 square feet of commercial/retail use is required under the DPO.

Parking will be handled in a number of ways. Informal on-street parking will be located along one side of Road A. Townhomes will park on driveways on individual lots. There will be dedicated off-street parking for the quadplex units and the commercial space located off the alley streets (28 spaces). Additional on-street parking will be provided along Beaty Street (10 spaces) and Armour Street (14 spaces). A total of 52 parking spaces will be provided (48 spaces required for quadplex buildings and 20,000 sf commercial use).

OPEN SPACE & PARKS

A public park of approximately 0.24 acres will be centrally located on the side with townhomes fronting the park space. In addition to the public park space shown on the proposed site plan, the notes indicate that an additional 0.94 acres of open space will be provided on site (1.18 acres total).

TREE PRESERVATION, LANDSCAPING & SCREENING

Tree planting standards require at least two large mature trees per 9,000 square feet of parcel area OR one large mature tree and one small mature tree per 6,000 square feet of parcel area. The plans indicate that 46 large mature trees will be planted on site, which is compliant with Davidson Planning Ordinance requirements.

AFFORDABLE HOUSING

A total of eight (8) affordable units are required based upon 64 total units in this portion of Davidson Bay. The affordable units have been identified on the proposed master plan within the two quadplex buildings (8 units total). Payment-in-lieu is also an option to providing the required units.

LAKE NORMAN WATERSHED

The project site is located in the Critical Area of the Lake Norman Watershed. Within this district, the Davidson Planning Ordinance limits development to a maximum of 50 percent built-upon area (BUA, or hardscape). The proposed plan indicates that the subject site will comply with the total amount of BUA allocated to this portion of Davidson Bay Phase II under the previously approved master plan (141,416 sf BUA for envelopes A, B, and C). The proposed plan shows BUA of the subject site at +/-132,732 sf.

3. PLANS AND POLICIES

Below is a list of town-adopted plans and policies summarizing each document's applicability to the proposed Master Plan:

- The *Davidson Comprehensive Plan (2010)* contains several recommendations and initiatives relevant to this proposal. The Targeted Growth Plan Livability Themes state:
 - A. CREATE DIVERSE BUSINESS AND JOB OPPORTUNITIES
 - Growth should create a balance of commercial and residential development.
 - B. SUPPORT SAFE AND VIBRANT PUBLIC SPACES AND NEIGHBORHOODS. GOAL 3: Provide Safe and Secure Neighborhoods, Streets, Parks and Greenways. Initiatives include:
 - Development should be walkable with vibrant public spaces.
 - Growth should support and enhance existing neighborhoods.
 - C. MAINTAIN QUALITY DESIGN AND SOUND PLANNING PRINCIPLES. GOAL 1: Prioritize Infill and Mixed-Use Development Within or Near Already Developed Areas, stating:
 - Continue to allow for limited single-family infill development and redevelopment in the Village Infill Planning Area.
 - Growth should create high-quality pedestrian environments.

As identified above, the comprehensive plan supports development that is walkable and safe. The plan also supports the dissemination of all transportation modes through multiple route options.

The Davidson Planning Ordinance (2015) contains several references that speak directly to this proposal, including:

Principles

- We must preserve Davidson's character and sense of community: Enhanced by developments with open space and a street, sidewalk and greenway network that knits the community together (General Principles Item 1);
- We must encourage alternative means of active transportation: The built environment can enhance the use of alternatives to the car and increase our physical health (General Principles Item 3).

Lakeshore Planning Area, Description

- The Lakeshore Planning Area is located at the entrance to Davidson from I-77, within easy walking and bicycling distance to large employment centers and downtown Davidson, and with ample access and vistas to Lake Norman, Lake Davidson, and Lake Cornelius. The Lakeshore Planning Area contains opportunities for high density residential development integrated within corporate, commercial and retail mixed-use development. This planning area is within the state-mandated watershed overlay district; thus the development should be higher and denser development with a smaller footprint. Shorelines must be preserved for public use and aesthetic character. (2.2.6.A).

These references underscore the DPO's emphasis on interconnected policies and land use patterns that support the diversity of residential areas with the provision of community amenities, such as greenways.

4. PROCESS & NEXT STEPS

- Pre-Development Consultation w/ Board of Commissioners: January 2019
- Application/Preliminary Sketch Plan Submittal: May 2019
- Planning Board FYI Presentations: June 2019 & August 2019
- Public Input Session: August 2019
- Master Plan Schematic Design Submittal: August 2019 (tentative)
- Planning Board Review & Comment: September 2019 (tentative)
- Board of Commissioner Decision: TBD
- Preliminary Plat (i.e. Construction Documents): TBD

5. RESOURCES & ATTACHMENTS

- Resources: Links to referenced policy documents.
 - Davidson Planning Ordinance (2015):
 http://www.ci.davidson.nc.us/DocumentCenter/View/8499
 - Comprehensive Plan (2010): http://www.townofdavidson.org/340/Davidson-comprehensive-Plan
- Maps:
 - Proposed Davidson Bay Phase II Master Plan Amendment (with environmental inventory, street sections, notes, etc.) Envelopes A+B+C
- Town Staff Contact: Lindsay Laird, Planner llaird@townofdavidson.org
- Applicant: J. Bart Hopper, Hopper Communities, LLC bhopper@hoppercommunities.com



Agenda Title: DPO 9 Tree Canopy, Preservation, & Screening - Text Amendments

Summary: The Planning Board will review and make a recommendation regarding proposed changes to Sections 9 and 15 of the Davidson Planning Ordinance, which cover Tree Canopy, Preservation, and Screening as well as Landscaping Violations.

ATTACHMENTS:

	Description	Upload Date	Type
D	DPO 9 Tree Text Amendments - Memo	8/22/2019	Cover Memo
ם	DPO 9 Text Amendments - Schedule of Changes	8/22/2019	Exhibit
ם	DPO 9 Text Amendments - Proposed Text Amendments	8/23/2019	Exhibit
D	DPO 15 Text Amendments - Proposed Text Amendments	8/23/2019	Exhibit



MEMO: TREE ORDINANCE DRAFT TEXT AMENDMENTS

Date: August 20, 2019

To: Board of Commissioners

From: Planning Board Ordinance Committee Members; Trey Akers, Senior Planner

Re: DPO Sections 9/15 (Trees/Landscaping Ordinances) – Proposed Text Amendments

The following sections highlight the proposed text amendments' history, alignment with town aims, public engagement, pros/cons, and anticipated schedule/potential action. Note: The Davidson Planning Ordinance is abbreviated "DPO" throughout the memo.

1. OVERVIEW

BACKGROUND

- **Purpose:** The standards promote the creation of a healthy tree canopy and landscape by establishing rules to regulate the establishment, preservation, and maintenance of natural features at the lot and site/master plan level.
- Background: In December 2016 the Livability Board suggested revising the standards to institute
 best practices, make adjustments, and clarify administration procedures. Updating the tree
 ordinance is listed as a high priority on the planning department workplan.

ATTACHMENTS

- Presentation: This presentation covers the most substantive topics from DPO 9 Tree Canopy, Landscaping, and Screening and the related DPO 15 Landscaping Violations. These are highlights and this presentation should be paired with review of the actual amendments and comments in the margins of the DPO 9/15 documents.
- **Schedule of Changes:** This is the schedule of changes by ordinance section, including a few cross-reference changes that are required. All DPO 9 and 15 changes are listed outside of this document.
- **DPO 9 and DPO 15 Documents:** As noted above, these contain the actual changes. Many components in each of these sections included significant rewriting or reorganization; comments regarding substantive topics are included in the document margins.

2. RELATED TOWN GOALS

STRATEGIC PLAN ALIGNMENT

■ Land Use Strategy: The proposed standards consider the revision of development processes to more effectively guide the approval of landscape plans and tree permits.

- Historic Preservation Strategy: The proposed standards contemplate how to increase incentives
 for the preservation of healthy, mature trees that contribute to the town's authenticity as a
 historic, small college town.
- Operations: The standards would clarify the administrative and approval processes for DPO 9/15.
- Partnerships: The town's advisory boards have been and will continue to be involved throughout
 the process. Additionally, guidance has been sought from arborists with the City of Charlotte.
 Lastly, the proposed amendments contemplate partnering with local organizations that could help
 incentivize tree canopy establishment and preservation.

CORE VALUES

- **Open Communication:** Advisory board members have and will continue to play an instrumental role in reviewing/revising standards and engaging citizens.
- **Traditional Character:** The proposed standards would indirectly reinforce the historic character of existing streets throughout town while ensuring new streets are built in the same manner.
- Healthy Environment: The standards help to protect and enhance the town's tree canopy.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

- Enable Faithful Stewardship, Goal 2 Preserve Natural Habitats, the Lakeshore, and the Tree Canopy: This goal recommends a variety of approaches being contemplated by the proposed policies and amendments, including:
 - » Promote healthy pruning techniques;
 - » Set measurable goals to increase and sustain forest cover;
 - » Create incentives and/or funds to assist landowners in mitigating tree removal through care practices or replanting;
 - » Create a tree canopy replanting and management plan;
 - » Revise requirements to better preserve existing tree canopy.

CONSTIUENTS SERVED

- All Residents: Residents across town experience the beauty of trees on our streets and in our public spaces and are positively impacted by the improved air quality that trees provide.
- Administration/Government: The proposed amendments increase administrative clarity, including
 application of standards and processes, compared to the current standards. This benefits
 landowners, too, who will have a better idea of steps needed to obtain approval.

3. SUMMARY OF CHANGES

OVERVIEW OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

The following list highlights the substantive changes undertaken to each ordinance sub-section.

- Section 9 Tree Canopy, Landscaping, & Screening:
 - » 9.1 Purpose & Intention: This section has been reordered to address overarching goals first, then impacts addressed through the ordinance, then issues of property and aesthetics.
 - » 9.2 Applicability & Administration: This section has been revised to designate an Arborist as a key figure in educating stakeholders as well as in the approval of plans and permits. And, various changes have been made to clarify the documentation requirements for landscape plans as well as the criteria governing landscape bonds, inspections, and the replacement of damaged vegetation. Lastly, a Tree Fund to support on-going canopy management activities has been proposed.

- » 9.3 Tree Coverage & Preservation: This section includes the following revisions:
 - 9.3.1, Table 9-1 Minimum Canopy Coverage:
 - An establishment requirement has been added and a limit on the amount of coverage that can come from preserved areas is proposed (meaning that new trees are required in each development);
 - the coverage requirements have been made prescriptive (rather than non-binding as in the current DPO) and, as a result, many coverages have increased;
 - the coverage requirements have been revised to be based on project area, which results in greater canopy coverage;
 - the coverage requirements have been informed by local data (tree canopy study);
 - caliper planting sizes have been increased and a minimum height at planting requirement has been added;
 - and, a payment-in-lieu option has been proposed (which acknowledges growth in builtup areas and directs resources to areas intended for preservation. Street tree and parking lot planting requirements must still be met). The proposed value is \$8/square foot and is derived from local data in the Street Tree Inventory. This is based on a midpoint between a pure environmental services value of a tree (\$4-\$5/square foot) and the average asset value of a large maturing tree (\$10-12/square foot).
 - <u>9.3.2, Table 9-2 Preservation</u>: Preservation requirements ranging from 10%-40% have been calibrated based on Planning Area, rather than a generic requirement that exists in the current ordinance (20%). Additionally, a payment-in-lieu option has been proposed as described above.
 - 9.3.3, Permitting: A clearer, more rigorous permitting process has been established and requires involvement by a professional arborist to facilitate tree care and preservation. The area covered by permitting has increased from setback areas only to the entire lot, while the minimum size of a tree requiring a permit for removal has increased from eight inches to twelve inches. Note: The permitting process does not prohibit the removal of trees.
- » 9.4 Street Tree Plantings: This section has been revised in a few minor but important ways namely, instituting standards to ensure robust plantings along streets but with flexibility accorded to the arborist in making sure the intent of the requirements are met. References to third-party standards are included as a best practice.
- » 9.5 Site Landscaping: Minimal adjustments have been made to this section, which establishes clear standards for depicting landscape/vegetation on site plans and minimum planting standards for areas around buildings.
- » **9.6 Parking Area Landscaping:** This section covers planting and design requirements for existing as well as new parking lots. Minimal adjustments to this section were needed.
- **9.7 Screening:** This section covers special use circumstances. Minimal adjustments to this section were needed; redundancies with Section 4.3.1.E of the ordinance were eliminated.
- » 9.8 Installation & Maintenance Standards: This section covers miscellaneous topics ranging from soil compaction to fencing to encroachments. Minimal adjustments to this section were needed.
- » 9.9 Alt. Methods of Compliance: This is a new section that includes text relocated from earlier in the ordinance. Titles and documentation references have been added for clarity, and relocating this section from the beginning to the end reinforces the notion that the meeting ordinance's requirements is the first priority – with alternative compliance reserved for select cases.

» 9.10 Planting Specifications & Appendices: This is a new section that contains various reference documents such as what types of trees/vegetation to plant, the appropriate mix of species, and third-party guidance on landscape practices.

Section 15.3.1 Landscaping Violations:

- » A. Applicability: This section has been revised to be more clearly organized.
- » B. Replacement: This section has been revised to be more clearly organized. Additionally, replanting requirements specific to specimen trees have been added, along with more flexibility on when replantings can occur (i.e. a mutually-agreed-to timeframe based on planting season).
- » C. Penalties: This section has been reorganized and features a number of changes:
 - each responsible party can be subject to a civil penalty (i.e. not just the landowner but the entity performing the work);
 - failing to plant original or replacement trees may be subject to a penalty;
 - penalties have been calibrated based on total or partial loss as well as whether the
 affected tree/area is a specimen tree, part of an approved plan, prohibited preapplication clearing, or in the right-of-way;
 - non-monetary penalties have been introduced to allow for flexibility in assessing violations where financial hardship exists or unintentional/not grossly negligent actions result in a violation; and
 - the process for issuing a violation has been clarified.
- » D. Appeals & Variances: This section has been added to make the process for disputing violations clear, fair, and linked to existing ordinance procedures (i.e. the Board of Adjustment proceedings).

4. OPTIONS/PROS & CONS

RECAP. OF OPTIONS DISCUSSED

Beginning with the November 13, 2018 board of commissioners meeting and including additional meetings listed below, the following topics were discussed and policy direction sought/confirmed:

- Arborist Involvement: Established in 9.2.2.B and referenced throughout Section 9.
- Tree Fund: Identified in 9.2.2.B; requires Board of Commissioners resolution.
- Landscape Bonds/Warranty: Established in 9.2.2.D-E.
- Canopy Preservation & Establishment: Tree canopy study completed Spring 2019; results shared at the March 12, 2019 and May 24, 2019 board of commissioner meetings and commissioners supported the use of this data to inform Table 9-1 and Table 9-2 changes and supporting criteria (i.e. calibrated approach pursued). Modifications to these tables based on this data, including pricing alternatives, were discussed at the June 11 and July 9, 2019 board of commissioner meetings.
- Permitting/Removal Criteria: Clarified and increased in rigor in 9.3 based on discussions at the June 11 and July 9, 2019 board of commissioner meetings.
- Remediation Provisions/Civil Penalties: Clarified and increased in rigor in 9.3 based on discussions at the June 11 and July 9, 2019 board of commissioner meetings.

PROS & CONS

Below is a list of potential benefits if commissioners enact the ordinance changes:

- Arborist Involvement: The inclusion of this practitioner in the review/approval of plans and permits will greatly increase the community's collective understanding of how to properly plant, care for, and remove trees. Effectively, this consultation is being offered as a service to residents.
- Administrative Clarity: The proposed changes significantly improve the processes to secure plan and permit approval.
- Flexibility/Responsibility: A number of standards or processes have been revised to afford greater flexibility in site design, tree location, and tree installation while simultaneously ensuring that plans are executed as approved and maintained in an enduring manner.
- Canopy Preservation & Establishment: The proposed changes tailor the preservation and planting requirements based on planning area, meaning that the standards are appropriate to each part of town rather than the current approach's generic standards. These criteria have been updated using local data based on the recently-completed tree canopy study (2019); they will result in additional canopy being preserved and planted compared to the current ordinance.
- Remediation Provisions/Civil Penalties: The replanting/mitigation process has been clarified
 and the penalties section revamped to more directly discourage non-permitted tree removal.
 Additionally, alternatives have been included to allow for flexibility of application.

Below is a list of potential drawbacks if commissioners enact the ordinance changes:

• Education: The changes must be communicated clearly to a broad audience, especially early on. Ensuring that the public and practitioners are appropriately informed of understand the changes will be very important in the months immediately following adoption.

4. FYI/RECOMMENDED ACTION

• **Hearing:** The July 23, 2019 meeting is an opportunity for commissioners to further understand the proposed revisions as well as to hear input from the public on the proposed changes.

5. NEXT STEPS

- July 2019:
 - » Board of Commissioners Hearing July 23, 2019
 - » Planning Board Review July 29, 2019
- August 2019:
 - » Livability Board Recommendation August 20, 2019
 - » Planning Board Recommendation August 26, 2019
- September 2019:
 - » Commissioner Consideration of Approval September 24, 2019



MEMO

Date: July 9, 2019

To: Board of Commissioners

From: Planning Board Ordinance Committee; Trey Akers, Senior Planner

Re: Davidson Planning Ordinance Section 9 + Related Draft Text Amendments, Schedule of Changes

1. TEXT AMENDMENTS

TEXT CHANGES – PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

The following is a list of proposed text changes to the Town of Davidson Planning Ordinance (DPO). The listed changes are being undertaken to improve the establishment and maintenance of tree canopy as well as clarify the processes/administration related to plan and permit approvals . Proposals are organized by page number.

	PROPOSED TEXT CHANGES			
PAGE	SECTION	TITLE	ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION
		SECTION 4 – SITE & E	BUILDING DESIGN STANDARD	S
4-4	4.3.1.E	Loading/Areas, Mechanical Equipment and Utilities	Section 4 deals with screening using non-natural materials only. Section 9 includes standards for screening requirements with natural materials.	Include a reference within Section 4 to direct ordinance users to Section 9.
TEXT CHANGES		Old Text: 2. Mechanical equipment (except small items such as fans and vents), utility meters, storage areas, solid waste containers (including dumpsters, compactors, recycling containers, and solid waste and recycling handling areas), transformers, generators, HVAC units and similar features, or other utility hardware on the building, roof, or ground shall be screened from public view with materials similar to the structure; OR they shall be so located as not to be visible from a primary fronting public street. New Text: 2. Mechanical equipment (except small items such as fans and vents), utility meters, storage areas, solid waste containers (including dumpsters, compactors, recycling containers, and solid waste and recycling handling areas), transformers, generators, HVAC units and similar features, or other utility hardware on the building, roof, or ground shall be screened from public view with materials similar to the structure; OR they shall be so located as not to be visible from a primary fronting public street. In certain		

			cases, screening using natural materials may be preferable. See Section 9 for landscape screening requirements. Note: Natural screening alternatives must be approved by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist.	
		SECTION 9 – TREE PRESERVA	ATION, LANDSCAPING, & SCR	EENING
9-1	9.1-9.10	ALL SECTIONS	Section 9 requires a number of revisions to clarify the processes and requirements by which plans and permits are approved.	See Section 9 proposed changes in the related attachment.
			Old Text: See attachment.	
	TEX	XT CHANGES	New Text: See attachment.	
		SECTION 15 – V	IOLATIONS & PENALTIES	
15-4	15.3.1	LANDSCAPING VIOLATIONS	Section 15 requires a number of revisions to clarify the processes and requirements by which violations and penalties are administered.	See Section 15 proposed changes in the related attachment.
	TEX	XT CHANGES	Old Text: See attachment.	
			New Text: See attachment.	
		SECTION	16 – DEFINITIONS	
16-27	16.3	Tree, Large Maturing	Section 16 requires revision because a new tree type – Medium Maturing – has been referenced in Section 9.	Revise the definition to indicate a different range of height, etc. due to the new definition for Tree, Medium Maturing.
TEXT CHANGES		Old Text: A tree, usually deciduous, whose height is greater than 35 feet at maturity and meets the specification of "American Standards for Nursery Stock" published by the American Association of Nurserymen, that is planted to provide canopy cover shade. In the case of tree removal permits, the minimum size is 12" DBH. See also canopy tree. New Text: A tree, usually deciduous, whose height is greater than 40 feet at maturity and meets the specification of "American Standards for Nursery Stock" published by the American Association of Nurserymen, that is planted to provide canopy cover shade. In the case of tree removal permits, the minimum size is 12" DBH. See also canopy tree.		
16-27	16.3	Tree, Medium Maturing	Section 16 requires revision because a new tree type – Medium Maturing – has been referenced in Section 9.	Update Section 16 to include the new definition of Tree, Medium Maturing
TEXT CHANGES Old Text: N/A – Does not exist.			st.	

		New Text: A tree, usually deciduous, whose height is between 25 to 40 feet at maturity and meets the specification of "American Standards for Nursery Stock" published by the American Association of Nurserymen, that is planted to provide canopy cover shade. In the case of tree removal permits, the minimum size is 12" DBH. See also canopy tree.		
16-27	16.3	Tree, Small Maturing	Section 16 requires revision because the minimum DBH for permitting now starts at 12".	Revise the definition to reference 12" as the minimum DBH requiring a permit for removal.
TEXT CHANGES		Old Text: A small to medium tree, growing up to 25 feet in height at maturity, that is planted for aesthetic purposes such as colorful flowers, interesting bark, or fall foliage. In the case of tree removal permits, the minimum size is 8" DBH.		
		New Text: A small to medium tree, growing 15 feet to 40 feet in height at maturity, that is planted for aesthetic purposes such as colorful flowers, interesting bark, or fall foliage. In the case of tree removal permits, the minimum size is 12" DBH.		
16-27	16.3	Tree, Specimen	The current definition of Tree, Specimen is vague and refers only to a singular tree.	Revise the definition to account for a variety of characteristics to assist the Arborist in determining whether a tree is a specimen tree; and, include reference to groups of trees for cases in which several trees contribute to a significant landscape element.
TEXT CHANGES			Old Text: A tree that is unusually large or well-shaped or provides a focal point or point of interest. New Text: Tree, Specimen: A tree or group of trees considered to be an important community asset due to its unique or noteworthy characteristics or values. A tree may be considered a specimen tree based on its size, age, rarity or special historical or ecological significance as determined by the Arborist. Examples include large hardwoods (e.g., oaks, poplars, maples, etc.) and softwoods (e.g., pine species) in good or better condition with a DBH of 24" or greater, and smaller understory trees (e.g., dogwoods, redbuds, sourwoods, persimmons, etc.) in good or better condition with a DBH of 10" or greater.	

2. PUBLIC PLANS AND POLICIES

The proposed text changes are consistent with the existing policy and ordinance frameworks adopted by the town. Specifically, the changes reflect the 2010 Town of Davidson Comprehensive Plan's guidance for Goal 2: Preserve Natural Habitats, the Lakeshore, and the Tree Canopy: Revise open space or tree preservation requirements to better preserve existing tree canopy in all planning areas (Pg. 60).

All proposed changes meet the requirements set forth in Davidson Planning Ordinance 1.5.1 Implementation of Adopted Plans & Policies: "Any amendments to, or actions pursuant to, this ordinance should be consistent with these adopted plans and policies, as amended."

3. PLANNING BOARD RECOMMENDATION

This section will be completed once the Planning Board makes a recommendation.

4. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

This section will be completed later in the process.

TREE CANOPY, LANDSCAPING & SCREENING

9.1 PURPOSE & INTENTION

The purpose and intent of this ordinance¹ is to establish minimum standards for the preservation of existing and the planting of new trees and shrubbery in order to:

- Protect and improve the existing tree canopy in order to enhance the health and quality of life of citizens;
- Maintain or increase the tree cover in all areas of the planning jurisdiction;
- Preserve and enhance the natural environment:
- · Increase species and age diversity of the urban forest;
- Protect specimen trees;
- Provide habitat resources to native plants and animals;
- Promote use of non-invasive and native plant materials;
- Ensure compatibility between vegetation and adjacent infrastructure or utility systems;
- · Better control soil erosion;
- · Reduce the hazards of flooding;
- · Stabilize ground water tables;
- Capture, treat, or store carbon dioxide, particulate matter, and other pollutants;
- Provide shade for cooling;
- Screen noise, dust, and glare;
- Provide architectural interest and human scale;
- Maintain and/or improve aesthetic values;
- Enhance property values
- Balance preservation of tree canopy with recognition of private property rights.

9.2 APPLICABILITY & ADMINISTRATION

9.2.1 APPLICABILITY

Except as set forth in exemptions specified in this ordinance, the provisions of this ordinance shall apply to all land within the town's zoning jurisdiction, both public and private, according to the following:

- **A.** New Development: All provisions of this ordinance shall apply.
- **B. Existing Development:** All provisions of this ordinance shall apply to changes of use and/or expansions of existing conforming and non-conforming development.

Commented [TA1]: This section includes minor revisions to remove duplicate text, remove terms not defined in the DPO (i.e. "heritage" tree), reorder items in a logical sequence, and add/refine some explanations to be more descriptive/accurate.

Commented [TA2]: This section clarifies to what types of projects the standards apply.

¹ As used herein, "ordinance" shall refer to Section 9, including all subsections thereof, of the Davidson Planning Ordinance, unless specifically noted otherwise.

9.2.2 ADMINISTRATION

- A. Administration: The Public Works Department shall assist the Planning Director and Board of Commissioners in the above Purposes & Intention. Additionally, an advisory board(s) shall assist in the periodic review and update of the standards in this section. As needed, the Planning Director may allocate responsibilities to town staff, authorized representatives, and/or the Arborist (as defined below) in order to administer this ordinance.
- B. Arborist: The town shall retain one or more certified arborists ("Arborist") to assist with maintaining a town-wide tree inventory, developing and approving plans for the development of property (both public and private) consistent with the provisions of this ordinance, conducting inspections, and such other matters related to the administration of this ordinance as the Planning Director may request.

C. Documents & Approvals:

- 1. Permits and Inspections: Permits and inspections shall be required for the activities as set forth in this ordinance.
- **2. Landscape Plans:** Site work proposed as part of a Conditional Planning Area, Master Plan, or Individual Building process requires approval of plans and participation in activities as described in this ordinance.
 - a. Plan: All plans shall meet the documentation standards set forth in this ordinance and, to the extent required by Section 14, the criteria for Landscape Schematic Design or Landscape Construction Documents set forth in Section 14. Depending on site conditions or characteristics, the documents may require additional site or building information to be displayed.
 - b. Specimen Tree Preservation: In addition to the required landscape plan, any specimen trees as defined in this ordinance shall require a specific care plan developed in concert with the Arborist. The care plan shall be consistent with the practices set forth by the International Society of Arboriculture.
- 3. Revisions to Approved Landscape Plans: Revisions may be requested by a property owner or required by the Town of Davidson prior to release of landscape bonds. All revisions to landscape plans must be approved by the Planning Director, who may consult with the Arborist.

All revisions should ensure that:

 There is no significant change in quantity, size, or location of plant materials, as determined in the discretion of the Planning Director **Commented [TA3]:** This section clarifies the parties responsible for administering the ordinance. Note: Tree permits are currently handled by the Public Works Department. It is recommended that an Arborist assume responsibilities for administering many parts of this ordinance.

Commented [TA4]: The proposed amendments recommend the use of this professional to assist in reviewing building permits, master plans, and managing tasks related to the Street Tree Inventory and other activities.

Commented [TA5]: Three types of processes are introduced because they are the three types of "plans" that would be required to produce site documentation as part of the approval process. All other work (i.e. to individual lots) would be handled via permit.

Commented [TA6]: This clarifies what documentation standards must be met and where those requirements are located.

Commented [TA7]: This introduces enhanced requirements for specimen trees based on professional insight and a third-party standard

or the Arborist; and

- The new plants are of the same general category (i.e., shade tree, ornamental tree, evergreen, or shrub) and have the same general features (mature height, crown spread) as the materials being replaced.
- Major Revisions: Include but are not limited to: grading changes, buffer alterations, and/or changes to more than 20% of the approved plant quantities, types, or species. These changes may require approval by Mecklenburg County in addition to approval by the Town of Davidson.
- 2. Minor Revisions: Include but are not limited to: seasonal planting problems, lack of plant availability, and/or any identified site issues (i.e., dead and/or diseased trees, constrained/inadequate planting conditions).
- **D.** Final Plat: Final Plat documents shall reflect all relevant site details pertaining to trees and vegetation in accordance with DPO 14, including: Designated tree save and/or open space areas; buffers; and, easements.

E. Landscape Maintenance Bond:

- 1. Bond: Prior to the approval of Final Plat documents or the Initial Inspection for Certificate of Occupancy, a landscape maintenance bond shall be posted to ensure timely and proper completion of improvements identified in the approved landscape plan. The following projects and/or permits require bonds: All Conditional Planning Area, Master Plan, or Individual Building projects; site plan approvals; or, as determined by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist, building permits involving site work and/or features that must meet the requirements of this ordinance.
- **2. Requirements:** The bond shall meet all applicable bonding requirements of Mecklenburg County, as well as the following criteria:
 - The bond shall be obtained from an AA-rated surety bonding company authorized to do business in North Carolina and approved by the Planning Director or their designee; and
 - The bond shall be payable to the town or its designee and shall be in an amount equal to 125% of the estimated cost to complete the improvements identified in the approved landscape plan.

F. Inspections:

Commented [TA8]: This clarifies what constitutes a major revision and the process for approval. The current ordinance references minor revisions but not major revisions.

Commented [TA9]: This section ensures that all documentation – including plats reviewed when buyers purchase homes – reflect all pertinent information related to required trees and preservation areas.

Commented [TA10]: • Landscape bonds are monies that the applicant secures in the event that the approved work is not completed (i.e. it makes sure the required plantings get installed even if the project or property owner does not complete them).
• The revision formally recognizes this important safeguard, which heretofore has been utilized only for Conditional Planning Area (CPA) projects and violations. The standards are derived from conditions used to execute recent legal contracts related to landscaping for CPAs and violations in the Town of Davidson; the standards are also derived from best practices put forth by Trees Charlotte.

Commented [TA11]: As referenced above, these standards are derived from current conditions that govern CPAs and violations in Davidson. The Warranty standards (see E.3.Warranty Period) are based on best practices employed by the City of Charlotte.

- Site Visits: Both before and after occupancy the Planning Director, the Arborist or authorized representatives of the town may periodically inspect sites subject to the provisions of this ordinance to confirm compliance therewith.
- 2. Initial Inspection for Certificate of Occupancy: After initial improvements required by the approved plans are complete, the Arborist shall inspect the tree and vegetative installations to confirm compliance with this ordinance and, as applicable, the American Standard for Nursery Stock, published by the American Association of Nurserymen. A Certificate of Occupancy for the building(s) or final plat for the development shall not be issued unless:
 - **a.** The landscaping required under this section is installed in accordance with these standards and in accordance with the approved permit, landscape plan or preliminary plat, as certified by the Arborist; and,
 - **b.** A Landscape Maintenance Bond is posted as required by section 9.2.2.E, above.
- 3. Warranty Period: After initial installation of all required plantings, a twenty-four (24) month warranty period for trees and eighteen (18) month warranty period for all other plantings shall commence from the date of notification to the town that initial installation of all required plantings is complete. Required replantings will not trigger the commencement of a new warranty period, but remain subject to the original warranty.
- 4. Second Inspection & Release of Bond: At the end of the warranty period, the Arborist shall re-inspect the required improvements for continued compliance with the approved landscape plan and to ensure that the landscaping is properly maintained. If any installations or areas require remedy, the town shall notify the owner in writing of (i) the necessary remedies, and (ii) a reasonable time period within which such remedies shall be completed by the owner (such time period to be determined by the Arborist or Planning Director or designee, but it shall in no event be less than 30 days or a timeline agreed to by all parties). If no remedies are required, the bond shall be released to the owner.
- 5. Remedies: If the owner fails to complete the requested remedies within the time period determined by the Arborist or Planning Director in accordance with section 9.2.2.E.4, above, the town may obtain and use such portion of the bond funds as necessary to complete the remedies based on actual costs. The town shall return any bond funds not spent in completing such work. Prior to using any bond funds, the town shall

Commented [TA12]: These are rigorous periods that go beyond the periods that many other communities require. They ensure that plantings are well-established and in good condition prior to the landscape bond being released.

Commented [TA13]: This is a best practice that's being incorporated into the ordinance. With the addition of an Arborist familiar with Davidson requirements and processes, the Town can ensure that the plantings meet the specific criteria outlined in this ordinance – rather than relying on Mecklenburg County to understand and enforce the nuances of our requirements.

notify the owner, in writing, of its intention to do so if the owner fails to complete the required remedies within ten (10) days. If the owner has not completed the required remedies (or, in the case that the required remedies reasonably cannot be completed within such time, has commenced and is diligently continuing work toward completing them) within ten (10) days of such notice, the town may obtain and use bond funds for the required remedies.

G. Replacement of Disturbed, Removed or Damaged Vegetation (Post-Warranty): Any landscape areas and vegetation preserved or planted as part of an approved landscape plan or permit shall be continually maintained in good condition by the property owner. Failure to adequately maintain approved vegetation, including trees, or to comply with the replacement provisions of this ordinance may result in a civil penalty. Replacement requirements, processes, and civil penalties are listed in Section 15.3 Landscaping Violations.

9.3 TREE COVERAGE & PRESERVATION

9.3.1 TREE COVERAGE

A. Minimum Tree Coverage: All Conditional Planning Area, Master Plan, or Individual Building projects shall maintain or establish a minimum tree coverage according to their planning area classification as listed in Table 9-1. The minimum project canopy coverage can be met through a combination of preserved areas, required plantings (e.g., street trees, etc.), and other plantings. For the purposes of this requirement, project area refers to the entire area within the project scope that is not encumbered by easements, uses, or other features that prohibit tree plantings.

TABLE 9-1: TREE COVERAGE REQUIREMENT		
PLANNING AREA	MINIMUM PROJECT CANOPY COVERAGE	
RPA	60%	
NE	50%	
EC1/EC2/CC	40%	
NG	30%	
VI	20%	
LK/VC/VE/ VCOM/NC1/ NC2/CBD/NS	15%	

B. Requirements:

Commented [TA14]: • This table and the following standards have been to achieve greater rigor and clarity of application (i.e. describing how the standards can be met). The coverage requirements are now also prescriptive rather than the non-prescriptive targets/ranges listed in the current ordinance.

- Compared to the existing ordinance they have been calibrated to a finer degree in order to reflect the context of various Planning Areas; they also reflect the varying levels of existing canopy coverage identified in the Tree Canopy Study.
- \bullet The requirements apply to properties to be developed; they do not apply to existing properties.

Commented [TA15]: This revision proposes an "establishment" requirement to further the growth of tree canopy throughout town. The requirements apply to properties to be developed; they do not apply to existing properties.

Commented [TA16]: • Minimum: Using this term establishes a prescriptive requirement for canopy coverage on each project. It represents a clearer, more definitive approach than the current ordinance.

 Project: Using this term clarifies that the standards apply to the entire project area and not just individual lots; this results in increased coverage compared to the current ordinance standard.

5

- 1. Applicability: The tree coverage standards listed in Table 9-1 apply to all Conditional Planning Area, Master Plan, and Individual Building development proposals. The following are exempt from the tree coverage standards: Permitted work related to single-family detached and duplex houses outside of the aforementioned processes; Recreation Facility Outdoor; Stable/Riding Academy, Horse Farm, Agriculture, Farm and substantially similar uses as determined by the Planning Director For these uses, the tree coverage standards shall serve as a guide for each Planning Area.
- 2. Measurement: The table assumes an average canopy area of: 1,000 square feet for large maturing trees; 800 square feet for medium maturing trees; and, 200 square feet for small maturing trees. Proposals must utilize these values unless the Arborist approves alternative values. For a description of each tree type, see 16.3 Definitions under Tree.
- 3. Caliper & Height: Trees planted to satisfy the Tree Coverage Requirement must have a minimum caliper of at least 2.5-3 inches and a minimum height of eight feet at the time of planting.
- **4. Canopy Coverage:** For canopy preservation requirements see 9.3.2.
 - a. Minimum: No more than 50% of the minimum project canopy coverage in Table 9-1 may come from required preservation areas listed in 9.3.2. This requirement does not apply in in the following planning areas: LK/VC/VE/VCOM/NC1/NC2/CBD/NS.
 - b. Payment-in-Lieu: For projects located in the LK/VC/VE/VCOM/NC1/NC2/CBD/NS Planning Areas, the minimum project canopy coverage may be met through payment-in-lieu so long as all applicable street tree and parking lot planting requirements are met and the payment-in-lieu option is approved by the Planning Director based on existing and proposed site features and the provisions of this ordinance. For payment-in-lieu values, see the Town of Davidson Fee Schedule. Note: In calculating the payment-in-lieu value a 1:1 credit per square foot shall be given for the following: Areas eradicated of invasive species and preserved for viewsheds as part of an approved plan.

C. Credit Toward Coverage Requirement:

 Preserved/Planted Species: All trees preserved or planted to satisfy the requirements of this ordinance, as well as other tree plantings included as part of an approved plan, may count toward the minimum project canopy coverage requirements established above. This means that the **Commented [TA17]:** The list identifies uses wherein coverage may conflict with the intended land use.

Commented [TA18]: This section helps to clarify values so that all parties are working with the same assumptions, while allowing professional discretion by the Arborist based on site-specific circumstances, species availability, etc.

Commented [TA19]: The standard of 2.5 inches is consistent with best practices/City of Charlotte standards; a minimum height requirement has been added per that City's standards.

Commented [TA20]: This new requirement ensures that new canopy is added in every project, which helps to put required plantings near places where people will be (i.e. residential lots, common open spaces, etc.) rather than solely in periphery areas.

Commented [TA21]: Permitting this option in areas where growth is designated to occur balances the need for economic development in built-up areas with the ability – through PIL funds received – to further increase the canopy in areas where growth is not designated to occur (or in built-up areas where additional plantings may be warranted).

Commented [TA22]:

The proposed value is \$8/square foot and is derived from local data in the Street Tree Inventory. This is based on a mid-point between a pure environmental services value of a tree (\$4-\$5/square foot) and the average asset value of a large maturing tree (\$10-12/square foot). For example, if a medium maturing tree (i.e. with a canopy area of 800 square feet) is proposed for removal instead of preservation, the payment-in-lieu value for that tree would be \$8 x 800 = \$6,400. Assuming a cost of \$250 for a new maturing tree of 2.5 inch caliper at a nursery, \$6,400 / \$250 = 25 new trees could be purchased with this payment.

requirements may be met through the preservation of existing tree vegetation, new tree plantings, or a combination of both; however, every reasonable effort shall be made to meet the coverage requirement through the preservation of existing trees.

2. Viewshed Preservation: In calculating the canopy coverage requirement, areas maintained or restored to preserve a publicly-accessible viewshed documented as part of an approved plan may receive a 1:1 credit per square foot, not to exceed 10 percent of the total project area.

9.3.2 PRESERVATION OF EXISTING VEGETATION FOR CONDITIONAL PLANNING AREA, MASTER PLAN, AND INDIVIDUAL BUILDING DEVELOPMENT PROPOSALS

- A. Required Preservation Areas: The following shall be preserved:
 - Trees and undergrowth (excluding invasive species and/or other vegetation that compromises the health of the surrounding ecosystem) in designated open space and primary conservation areas in an approved plan (see DPO 7.5), except for permitted pathways or site features as approved by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist.
 - 2. Mature trees as defined by this ordinance within the right-of-way or shading the street. If the preservation of mature trees is in conflict with setback requirements, exceptions may be granted on a case-by-case basis by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist.
 - **3.** Specimen trees as defined by this ordinance and approved by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist.
 - **4.** A minimum percent of the existing mature tree canopy shall be preserved as specified in Table 9-2.

TABLE :: TREE PRESERVATION REQUIREMENT			
PLANNING AREA	MINIMUM PRESERVATION AREA		
NE/RPA	40%		
EC1/EC2/CC	30%		
NG/VI	20%		
LK/VC/VE/ VCOM/NC1/ NC2/CBD/NS	10%*		

^{*}Payment-in-Lieu: For projects located in the LK, VC, VE, VCOM, NC1, NC2, CBD, NS Planning Areas, the minimum preservation area requirement may

Commented [TA23]: This provides for flexibility in site design without penalizing a landowner for preserving a documented site feature identified as important by residents through various public engagement processes the past few years (e.g. What's Next/comp. plan, Rural Area Plan, etc.).

Commented [TA24]: It's possible that certain types of undergrowth are not healthy or desirable, such as invasive species or vegetation that is compromising the health of other vegetation, larger trees, etcetera. So, the proposed text acknowledges invasive species and allows the Arborist flexibility to determine the right approach for each plan.

Commented [TA25]: This phrase was relocated from the preceding section and reduced in its language to simply reference 7.5, which describes the different types of open spaces – including primary conservation areas.

Commented [TA26]: This table has been created to clarify the preservation requirements, which have also been calibrated specific to each set of planning areas based on the Tree Canopy Study. It works in conjunction with Table 9-1, which sets the overall Minimum Tree Canopy Coverage requirements for a project and includes both preserved areas required by Table 9-2 and new plantings.

Commented [TA27]: Permitting this option in areas where growth is designated to occur balances the need for economic development in built-up areas with the ability – through PIL funds received – to further increase the canopy in areas where growth is not designated to occur (or in built-up areas where additional plantings may be warranted).

be met through payment-in-lieu if approved by the Planning Director based on existing and proposed site features and the provisions of this ordinance. For payment-in-lieu values, see the Town of Davidson Fee Schedule.

B. Other Preservation Areas: Existing vegetation in other areas shall be preserved whenever feasible according to the following standards:

- The decision to preserve trees and vegetation shown on the Environmental Inventory shall be made jointly by the Planning Director, the Arborist, the developer, and design team during the project approval process.
- 2. When selecting which trees and vegetation to preserve, the following shall be considered: Existing grading; age, condition and type of tree; whether the tree is invasive; proximity to water bodies and similar features; and, location of site improvements and utility connections.
- **3.** Properties in the Local Historic District are subject to the Historic District Design Guideline's tree preservation requirements.
- **C. Prohibited Locations:** No tree save area may be located within a utility right-of-way or easement without written permission from the utility agency.
- D. Credit Toward Required Plantings: Existing vegetation which is designated for preservation may be applied toward the requirements of this ordinance.

E. Construction Standards:

 Access: Construction access to a site should occur where an existing or proposed entrance/exit is located. Except for driveway access points, sidewalks and curb and gutter, land disturbance within a tree dripline is prohibited as outlined below.

2. Prohibited Activity:

- Trenching, placing backfill in the critical root zone (CRZ), driving or
 parking equipment in the CRZ, and dumping of trash, oil, paint or other
 materials detrimental to plant health in close proximity of the trees to
 be preserved is prohibited.
- Construction traffic, storage of vehicles and materials, grading, and unapproved site disturbance shall not take place within the CRZ of the existing trees. The areas shall remain free of all building materials, stockpiled soil or other construction debris.

3. Protection Requirements:

 a. Location: Protective barricades shall be placed around all trees designated to be saved prior to the start of development activities or **Commented [TA28]:** For more information, see the comment for 9.3.1.B.B above.

Commented [TA29]: This section has been reorganized for clarity of presentation.

grading. Such barricades shall be erected at a radial recommended minimum distance of 1.5 feet for every inch of trunk diameter at breast height (DBH) or the dripline, whichever is greater and outside the CRZ as approved by the Arborist, unless the Arborist approves a different distance based on the approved development plan or site circumstances. For example, a 12-inch diameter tree trunk at breast height should have a minimal radial protection zone of 18 feet. The circular diameter of protection around the trunk would be 36 feet from the base of the tree. Prior to approval of construction beginning, the Arborist must approve and inspect the barricade designs and installation.

- b. Materials: Protective barricades shall consist of 2" x 4" posts with 1" x 4" rails or orange safety fence.
- Duration: Protective barricades shall remain in place until development activities are complete.
- d. Grading Protocol: Where grading within a tree dripline cannot be avoided and is approved by the Planning Director and/or Arborist, cut and fill shall be limited to 1/4 to 1/3 of the area within the dripline. Tree roots must be pruned with clean cuts at the edge of the disturbed area, and no fill shall be placed within the dripline of a tree without venting to allow air and water to reach the roots. In the case of an approved construction or grading permit within the CRZ, the Arborist shall provide and ensure recommendations to protect the affected trees are implemented.
- F. Replacement of Preserved or Planted Trees: The proper care and protection of trees throughout and beyond the development process is critical. Penalties for violations range from \$50.00 to \$1,000.00 or more per tree or \$5.00 to \$10.00 per square foot disturbed and, depending on the penalty, may be enforced on landowners as well as parties executing work. For information on replacement plantings and civil penalties, see Section 15.3 Landscaping Violations.

9.3.3 TREE REMOVAL FOR LOTS NOT SUBJECT TO AN APPROVED PLAN

A. Applicability: The following standards apply to lots (whether existing or created subsequent to this ordinance's effective date) within the town's incorporated limits (i.e. excluding the extraterritorial jurisdiction) that are not subject to an approved Conditional Planning Area, Master Plan, or Individual Building development proposal. Notwithstanding the foregoing, lots located on

Commented [TA30]: This clarifies that trees required to be planted as part of this ordinance must be maintained in good condition.

Commented [TA31]: These values are calibrated based on local data available in the Street Tree Inventory.

Commented [TA32]: This represents an existing but reorganized and revised section that details the process for securing a permit for tree removal on an individual lot (processes such as master plans are dealt with in 9.3.4). The section focuses on creating a clear set of steps and decision criteria, with the aim of having property owners meet with an arborist as part of the process to better understand issues related to the site and/or building design. The permitting process does not prohibit the removal of trees; it requires a process for removal to be followed.

Catawba Ave. but outside the town's incorporated limits are subject to these standards, and all lots are subject to the prohibitions listed in 9.3.3.B.7.

- General/Purpose: No tree larger than twelve inches in diameter at breast height (DBH) may be removed without a permit from the town. A removal permit shall be issued by the Planning Director only after an applicant has met with the Arborist and received site-specific information on tree protection, care, and removal.
- 2. Local Historic District: Removal of a mature tree(s) located within in a locally designated historic district requires a Certificate of Appropriateness in addition to a tree permit (see Section 22). Mature trees shall be replaced by a tree of similar species, type, and must meet the requirements of Section 9.8.

B. Approval Process:

- 1. **Permit Required:** An approved permit, including fee payment (if applicable), shall be required for the removal or destruction of a tree(s) larger than twelve inches on any property, whether publicly or privately owned, as described above.
- 2. Fee: Development and permitting fees are as set forth in the Town of Davidson Fee Schedule. Note: A fee is not required for a tree removal permit unrelated to any other building activity on a site.
- 3. Site Information: The permit shall include a site plan illustrating the lot area, building and development footprints, and subject tree(s) intended for removal or that may be impacted by work. Work impacting the critical root zone (CRZ) of any tree over twelve inches must be described and/or shown on the plan. Based on site conditions the Arborist may require dimensions/measurements, an official survey, and/or other relevant information such as existing conditions, topography, easement location, etcetera.
- **4. Site Visit:** The permit approval may require an on-site consultation between the applicant and Arborist in order to identify existing conditions and exploration of best practices for preservation and/or removal. The site visit should occur within 14 days of the receipt of a complete application (including fee, if applicable).
- 5. Arborist Findings: Within 14 days of the site visit the Arborist shall prepare and issue a written report describing the applicant's intended work, site and tree characteristics, and recommended practices or potential alternatives to the proposed scope of work (if applicable). Emergency situations may warrant expedited review or, in select cases, removal prior

Commented [TA33]: This value is clearly-tied to a DPO definition (Tree, Large Maturing) and represents substantial trees. The revised text applies to a tree on any part of a property, whereas the current text regulates removal only in setback areas. As noted above, the permitting process does not prohibit the removal of trees; it requires a process for removal to be followed.

Commented [TA34]: There is currently no fee for a tree removal permit that does not involve any other site work; the proposed amendments do not change this. A fee would be required only as part of a building permit or master plan approval (in these cases, too, the fee is not a separate fee but is part of the overall application fee).

Commented [TA35]: In addition to the site visit, this report affords the opportunity for the Arborist to offer clear guidance and/or useful tips about tree care.

to permit approval. The Arborist shall determine whether a civil penalty is assessed in those situations.

Factors that may be considered in the Arborist's findings include but are not limited to whether the tree(s):

a. Health/Endangerment:

- Is dead, diseased, irreparably damaged, or the tree imminently endangers the health or safety of the general public or structures on the property or adjacent properties;
- Has not been appropriately maintained such that its current condition is compromised and cannot be corrected through reasonable care practices;

b. Characteristics

- Is not a specimen tree, as defined by this ordinance;
- Has officially-documented historic or cultural value and requires approval by the Historic Preservation Commission.

c. Location:

- Is within a designated conservation area, such as common open space or property protected by conservation easement;
- Is located within the permitted building envelope;
- If removed will negate the lot's ability to meet the minimum tree canopy coverage listed in Table 9-1;
- Is part of a greater development plan for which an approved landscape plan has been issued pursuant to this section; an approved development plan for which an approved grading and landscape plan has been issued shall serve as the tree removal permit.
- **6. Permit Issued:** The permit shall be issued when the Planning Director, in consultation with the Arborist, has determined that the process requirements set forth in Section 9.3.3.B have been satisfied.

7. Prohibitions:

a. Tree-Topping: The topping of trees and similar practices is strictly prohibited in areas regulated by approved plans; outside of site areas approved for pre-application clearing; in designated tree save or preservation areas; or, within the public right-of-way. Topping is the practice of reducing a tree's size using heading cuts that shorten limbs or branches back to a predetermined crown limit (ANSI A300 Part 1 Pruning, Tree Care Industry Association).

Commented [TA36]: This list provides applicants a sense of topics that may be addressed in the report.

Commented [TA37]: The permitting process does not prohibit the removal of trees; it requires a process for removal to be followed.

- b. Root Zone Disturbance: No activity affecting the critical root zone of a tree(s) in a conservation easement or dedicated open space areas may proceed without a tree permit.
- c. Unapproved Tree Removal: The removal of a tree larger than twelve inches without an approved tree permit is strictly prohibited. This includes clear-cutting or selective tree removal without an approved plan or permit. Tree removal related to site survey or development work must be approved by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist prior to the work beginning, and the removal of trees to avoid ordinance requirements is prohibited. See Section 15 Violations for further information.

C. Violations: See Section 15.3 Violations.

9.3.4 TREE REMOVAL FOR LOTS OR OPEN SPACE SUBJECT TO AN APPROVED PLAN

A. Applicability: The following standards apply to lots (whether existing or created subsequent to this ordinance's effective date), common areas, and/or open spaces subject to an approved Conditional Planning Area, Master Plan, or Individual Building development proposal (or any site plan requiring approval by the Town of Davidson).

1. General/Purpose:

- a. Lots: Lots created pursuant to an approved plan are subject to the conditions of that plan approval until the lot is sold (i.e. after Final Plat approval), at which point a lot becomes subject to the provisions of Section 9.3.3.
 - Note: Unless otherwise specified, lots created pursuant to an approved plan as noted above are subject to any enduring conditions and/or easements associated with that development and recorded on the plat that pertain to tree and vegetation preservation/removal.
- b. Open Space/Common Areas: Vegetation and trees within areas designated as open space and/or common areas are required to be maintained continually per the approved plan and/or applicable easements. Such areas and plantings must meet the post-warranty provisions of this ordinance, maintain approved buffers and easements, and are subject to Section 15.3 Violations.
- **2. Local Historic District:** Lots within a local historic district are subject to the approved plan standards and the applicable historic district criteria as described in 9.3.3.A.2 above.

B. Approval Process:

Commented [TA38]: This is the work that often takes place prior to a development application being submitted. It makes it clear that a landowner can't pre-emptively cut trees in anticipation of development in order to avoid the ordinance requirements. Other jurisdictions take this approach.

Commented [TA39]: This section establishes standards for the removal of trees on lots not considered to be existing lots (i.e. they are part of an approved plan). It provides clear guidance for common open spaces and other areas required to be maintained as part of a master plan.

 Permit Required: Designated common areas/open spaces and lots created pursuant to an approved plan are subject to the permit approval process outlined in Section 9.3.3. above.

2. Prohibitions:

- a. Tree-Topping: The topping of trees and similar practices is strictly prohibited in areas regulated by approved plans; outside of site areas approved for pre-application clearing; in designated tree save or preservation areas; or, within the public right-of-way. Topping is the practice of reducing a tree's size using heading cuts that shorten limbs or branches back to a predetermined crown limit (ANSI A300 Part 1 Pruning, Tree Care Industry Association).
- b. Root Zone Disturbance: No activity affecting the critical root zone of a tree(s) in a conservation easement or dedicated open space areas may proceed without a tree permit.
- c. Unapproved Tree Removal: The removal of a tree larger than twelve inches without an approved tree permit is strictly prohibited. This includes clear-cutting or selective tree removal without an approved plan or permit. Tree removal related to site survey or development work must be approved by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist prior to the work beginning, and the removal of trees to avoid ordinance requirements is prohibited. See Section 15 Violations for further information.

C. Violations: See Section 15.3 Violations.

9.4 STREET TREE PLANTINGS

9.4.1 STREET TREE REQUIREMENTS

A. Location: Except along a rural road, alley, or the park side of a parkway (See Section 6 - Town Street Classifications), trees shall be planted wherever a new street right-of-way is constructed, or where new construction occurs along an existing street right-of-way. For certain street types, as specified in the Town Street Classifications in Section 6, street trees must be planted in tree wells in the sidewalk.

B. Minimum Number:

1. Where at least an eight-foot planting strip has been permitted and no overhead power lines are located within 15 feet of the on-center planting location, a minimum of one large maturing tree shall be planted every 40-50

Commented [TA40]: This is the same language as in 9.3.3 but has been duplicated here for clarity that these standards apply to master plans, etc.

- feet on average linear feet, or as otherwise approved by the Arborist. With Arborist approval, existing trees may be applied toward this requirement.
- 2. For planting strips four to less than eight feet wide or those on-center planting locations within 15 feet of an overhead power line, trees shall consist of one small maturing tree per 25-30 feet on average, or as otherwise approved by the Arborist.
- **3.** Large maturing trees may be planted on the back side of sidewalk when the planting strip is less than eight feet.
- C. Planting Strip: In general, the minimum planting strip width for street trees shall be the width indicated for the applicable street type in Section 6. However, the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist may require a minimum planting strip width of eight feet to accommodate large canopy trees, including but not limited to willow oaks and red maples.
- D. Planting Specifications: Street trees shall be installed in accordance with Section 9.8 Installation and Maintenance Standards, Section 9.10 Tree Specification List, and the American Standard for Nursery Stock, published by the American Association of Nurserymen. Additionally, street trees shall be planted in amended soils and, where determined necessary by the Arborist, in tree pits. Large and medium maturing trees shall have a minimum caliper of three inches; small maturing trees shall have a minimum caliper of two inches. The Arborist may approve or require varying caliper sizes as appropriate.
- **E. Species**: Street tree species shall be selected from the Tree Specification List in consultation with the Arborist as follows:
 - 1. Streets in commercial areas shall have trees which complement the face of the buildings and which shade the sidewalk.
 - 2. Streets in residential areas shall provide for an appropriate canopy, which shades both the street and sidewalk.
 - 3. High canopy trees are preferred for emergency vehicle maneuvering.
 - A diversity of tree species shall be utilized to prevent the spread of pests and disease.

9.5 SITE LANDSCAPING

9.5.1 APPLICABILITY

The following standards apply to Conditional Planning Area, Master Plan, or Individual Building development proposals. These provisions for site landscaping shall apply to all

Commented [TA41]: Based on recent experiences with projects, site designers will default to the 6' min. illustrated in Section 6 Street Classifications. Therefore, this sentence has been rewritten to require 8' min. for certain species but allows the Arborist/Planning Director flexibility to approve alternate widths based on specific conditions.

buildings with a setback less than five feet as defined in Section 2, except where such buildings have a zero-foot setback from the public sidewalk.

9.5.2 MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS

- A. Documentation Standards: New landscape materials and preserved vegetation shall be noted on the Landscape Schematic Design as part of the Preliminary Plat and shall include at a minimum the following information:
 - 1. Scale: Landscape plan shall be drawn to scale no smaller than 1-inch equals 100 feet and include a north arrow and necessary interpretive legends.

2. Existing Vegetation:

- a. Location: General location, type and quantity of existing plant materials.
- **b.** Undisturbed & Protected Areas: Existing plant materials, areas to be left undisturbed, and areas that will be protected.
- c. Protection Requirements: Methods and details for protecting the critical root zone (CRZ) of existing plant materials and areas to be left undisturbed.

3. Proposed Vegetation:

- a. Identification: Locations, size and labels for all proposed plant materials.
- b. Table/Schedule: Plant lists with common name, botanical name, quantity, and spacing and size of all proposed landscape material at the time of planting.
- c. Planting and installation details as necessary to ensure conformance with all required standards.
- **4. Other Landscape Improvements:** Location and description of all other landscape improvements, including but not limited to earth berms, walls, fences, screens, sculptures, fountains, lights, courtyards, walks or paved areas.

5. Other Site Improvements/Features:

- **a.** Connections & Limits: Connections to existing and future properties, along with property boundaries.
- **b.** Site Features: Location of any proposed buildings, driveways, parking areas, required parking spaces, roads and other hard surface elements; location of signage; and, location of overhead and underground utilities.
- 6. Certification: Notes indicating compliance with the ordinance.

Commented [TA42]: This section is not new but has been reformatted and includes new titles organizing the information

- B. Minimum Required Area: A minimum five-foot wide area, measured perpendicular from the building, shall be provided for landscaping along any side of the building facing a public right-of-way way or park. This does not apply to portions of buildings featuring a zero-foot setback from the public sidewalk as listed in Section 2. Buildings with frontage along a street or park may, at the discretion of the Planning Director, be exempt from this requirement in order to provide entrance walkways and/or plazas.
- C. Minimum Required Landscaping: The minimum required landscaping shall consist of one of the following every 40 linear feet along the property boundary where a buffer is not required:
 - 1. Two small maturing trees;
 - 2. 10 shrubs; or
 - **3.** Any equivalent combination thereof, subject to Planning Director or Arborist approval.
- **D.** Location of Plantings: In locating the minimum required landscaping care shall be taken to ensure that adequate space is provided for the width of tree spread, height and root system requirements.
- **E. Preferred Species:** Native plants and wildlife supporting species are generally preferred in all landscape settings; however, at the discretion of the Arborist, alternative species may be planted. See the Tree Specification List and Shrub Specification List for approved species.

9.6 PARKING AREA LANDSCAPING

Parking lots are necessary features of the built environment. However, they shall be designed to integrate natural features within parking areas in order to mitigate environmental impacts and create welcoming places for vehicles and pedestrians.

9.6.1 APPLICABILITY

The parking area landscaping standards of this section shall apply according to the following standards. For a list of tree specifications, approved tree species and prohibited vegetation, see the Tree & Shrub Specification Lists.

- **A. Existing Parking Lots:** All expansions of impervious surfaces in existing parking lots with five or more spaces shall comply with this ordinance.
- B. New Parking Lots: All new parking lots shall comply with this ordinance.
- **C. Small Parking Lots:** For small lots (36 spaces or less), landscaping shall be required at the perimeter only, according to the standards of Section 9.6.2.

- **D.** Large Parking Lots: For large lots (more than 36 spaces), landscaping shall be at the perimeter and the interior, according to the standards of Sections 9.6.2 and 9.6.3. In large lots, the landscaping shall be placed to break the lot into parking modules of not more than 36 spaces.
- **E. Other Areas:** All other components of parking lot areas not specifically dedicated to vehicular parking or circulation shall be landscaped in accordance with this ordinance.

9.6.2 PARKING LOTS - PERIMETER LANDSCAPING & SCREENING

- **A. Minimum Width:** Perimeter landscape areas shall be a minimum of eight feet in width adjacent to all parking spaces and travel areas.
- **B.** Required Trees: Large maturing canopy trees shall be planted not more than 40 feet on center. Any deviation from this standard, including the use of alternative planting intervals and/or small maturing trees if necessitated by site conditions, must be approved by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist.
- C. Required Shrubs: A continuous row or staggered row of evergreen shrubs, with a minimum expected height at maturity of three feet, shall be installed at not more than six feet on center. If used in addition to a wall or fence, the evergreen shrubs shall be planted on the exterior side of such features. See wall and fence requirements below.
- D. Additional Requirements for Parking Lots Adjacent to Street Frontage: A masonry wall or garden hedge (minimum three feet in height) shall be installed along any street frontage adjacent to parking areas and the finished side of the wall or fence shall face the exterior right-of-way or neighboring property. At sidewalks with extensive pedestrian use, the masonry wall installed at the back of the sidewalk, is required but an alternate location may be approved based on site conditions.
- E. Additional Requirements for Parking Lots Adjacent to Detached and Attached Houses: Off-street parking areas adjacent to Detached and Attached Houses, shall be screened from such uses by one of the following:
 - 1. A garden wall, fence or hedge (minimum six feet in height); or
 - Evergreen shrubs planted at no more than of six feet on center and a minimum of three feet in height at the time of planting with an expected maturity height of at least six feet; or
 - 3. A combination of the above options.

Commented [TA43]: These changes clarify which site areas (i.e. within the parking area) are subject to the standards. The current language is not clear.

Commented [TA44]: As the existing text indicates, this is the preferred arrangement; so, the text has been modified to require this as the default design, with adjustments permitted based onsite circumstances.

- F. Natural Buffers: Where a natural buffer exists adjacent to parking areas, it is to remain undisturbed. Generally, only dead wood is allowed to be removed. The removal of undergrowth and limbing up of trees is prohibited unless approved by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist. All buffers required by the watershed protection regulations in Section 17 and the stream buffer overlay standards in Section 21 shall remain completely undisturbed, except as provided for in those sections.
- **G.** Existing Vegetation: Existing vegetation located in the perimeter landscape area which is designated for preservation may be applied toward the requirements of this section.

9.6.3 PARKING LOTS - INTERIOR LANDSCAPING

A. Landscape Islands

- Landscape islands within parking lots shall be located so as to define and direct vehicular movement.
- 2. When located adjacent to parking spaces on both sides, landscape islands shall have a minimum width of eight feet.
- **3.** Landscape islands with large maturing trees shall include a minimum of 200 square feet of pervious space per tree.
- **4.** In large lots, the landscaping shall be placed to break the lot into parking modules of not more than 36 spaces.
- **B. Minimum Spacing:** Large maturing trees shall be planted within the interior landscape islands of parking lots so that no part of any parking space is more than 40 feet from a tree.
- **C. Other Landscaping Areas:** All other components of parking lot areas not specifically dedicated to vehicular parking or circulation shall be landscaped in accordance with this ordinance.

9.7 SCREENING

The following requirements apply to landscape screens. See Section 4 Site & Building Design Standards for non-landscape screening requirements.

9.7.1 LANDSCAPE SCREENS

A. Applicability: Landscape screens shall be required anywhere Section 3 - Uses with Additional Requirements specify a requirement for a landscape screen. Where landscape screens are required, they shall be installed in accordance with the provisions below.

Commented [TA45]: This insertion recognizes that there may be circumstances in which undesirable undergrowth (i.e. invasive species, improperly developed/unsafe vegetation) may need to be removed, or trees appropriately pruned, in order to benefit site users.

Commented [TA46]: DPO 17 and 21 allow certain improvement practices that are consistent with maintaining natural, undisturbed buffers. Therefore, the text has been revised to be consistent with the standards listed in those sections.

Commented [TA47]: For consistency and clarity, this text was modified to use the same text proposed in 9.6.1.E.

Commented [TA48]: Cross-references have been added to Sections 9 and 4.3.1.E to clarify that screening standards related to natural materials (i.e. landscaping, trees, etc.) are on contained in both places.

18

- **B. Minimum Width:** For a landscape screen, a minimum 15-foot wide pervious space shall be provided, unless based on site conditions another width is deemed appropriate by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist.
- C. Minimum Required Landscaping:
 - A minimum of six large maturing trees and 40 shrubs shall be planted for each 100 linear feet of landscape screen area to provide continuous coverage.
 - 2. Trees shall be a minimum 50 percent evergreen.
 - **3.** Shrubs shall be a minimum 75 percent evergreen.
- **D. Existing Vegetation:** Existing vegetation located in the required landscape screen area may be counted toward the minimum required landscaping for landscape screens provided it is:
 - 1. Designated for preservation; and,
 - 2. Approved by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist.

9.7.2 MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT SCREENING

9.8 INSTALLATION & MAINTENANCE STANDARDS

All trees and shrubs required by this ordinance shall meet the planting specifications provided below.

9.8.1 GENERAL STANDARDS

- A. Quality of Plantings: All new plant material shall be of good quality, installed in a sound, workmanlike manner and meet the standards set forth in the American Standard for Nursery Stock by AmericanHort.
- **B.** Contractor Warranty: The contractor shall warrant all new plant material for two years from time of installation.
- **C. Soil Compaction:** Installation and construction practices shall be utilized which preserve existing topsoil or amend the soil to reduce compaction.
- **D. Staking and Groundcover:** All trees shall be properly guyed or staked and mulched (3-4 inch layer) in accordance with accepted practices in the landscape industry, to prevent winds from loosening the roots.
- **E. Chain Link Fencing:** Chain link and similar fencing materials, if used, shall be landscaped on their exterior side with evergreen shrubs minimum three feet in height and six feet on center at installation.

Commented [TA49]: This is not the appropriate location in the ordinance for these standards since no landscaping is referenced and similar information appears in 4.3.1.E. Therefore, the text in 9.7.2 and 4.3.1.E has been reconciled and redundancies have been removed.

- **F. Sight Distance Triangles:** No plants shall be planted within the sight distance triangle at an intersection, or driveway access points unless an unobstructed view between 30 inches and 72 inches in height is maintained.
- G. Overhead Utility Lines: Public and private utilities which install overhead and underground utilities shall be subject to this ordinance and the industry's best pruning and trenching specifications. Where large maturing trees are required and overhead utility lines exist, small maturing trees planted one per 30 linear feet shall be substituted with the approval of the Planning Director.
- **H. Encroachment Agreement:** No irrigation lines may be installed within the planting strip, or other portions of the public right-of-way, without an encroachment agreement executed by the town or NCDOT as appropriate.

9.9 ALTERNATE METHODS OF COMPLIANCE

9.9.1 ADMINISTRATION

Select circumstances may warrant alternative approaches to meeting the intent and purpose set forth in this ordinance. In such cases, the following shall apply:

A. Alternate Compliance Conditions: Alternate landscaping plans, plant materials, or planting methods may be used where the strict application of landscaping requirements set forth in this ordinance would be unreasonable or impractical, or where it is necessary to protect existing vegetation. Such situations may result from streams, natural rock formations, topography, or other physical conditions; or from lot configuration, utility easements, unified development design, or unusual site conditions.

B. Plan Standards:

- 1. Intent: All proposed alternate landscaping plans shall be evaluated by the Planning Director, in consultation with the Arborist, to determine if the alternate plan meets the intent and purpose of this ordinance. This determination shall take into account the land use classification of adjacent property, number of plantings, species, arrangement and coverage, location of plantings on the lots, and the level of screening height, spread, and canopy of the planting(s) at maturity.
- 2. Equivalency: The Planning Director, in consultation with the Arborist, may approve an alternate plan that proposes different plant materials or methods provided that quality, effectiveness, durability, and performance are equivalent to those required by this ordinance.

Commented [TA50]: In the current ordinance this does not appear as its own section and it appears very early in the ordinance (it's currently the first topic under 9.2.2 Administration). As a result, the current arrangement suggests that alternate compliance is prioritized over or equal to the ordinance requirements. Therefore, this has been moved to the end of the ordinance, reorganized for clarity, and given its own section, which is consistent with pre-2015 DPO

3. Administration & Documentation: All administration, documentation, and other pertinent standards of this ordinance shall be met through the approval process for projects pursuing an alternate method of compliance, unless otherwise approved by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist.

Commented [TA51]: This entire sub-section C. provides a catch-all to clarify that all relevant parts of this ordinance apply, even when pursuing an alt. compliance pathway.

9.10 PLANTING SPECIFICATIONS & APPENDICES

9.10.1 APPLICABILITY

The following documents inform this ordinance's standards and shall apply to all proposals unless otherwise determined by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist.

9.10.2 PLANTING SPECIFICATIONS

- A. Tree Specification List: This document contains a list of approved species, including growth characteristics and features, permitted to be considered for proposals.
- B. Shrub Specification List: This document contains a list of approved species, including growth characteristics and features, permitted to be considered for proposals.
- **C. DPO Tree Planting & Mix Guidelines:** This document contains guidance on the appropriate distribution of trees, shrubs, and vegetation based on canopy coverage, square footage, and other factors.

9.10.3 APPENDICES

- **A.** Tree Care Guidelines: This document contains a list of terms, methodologies, and best practices related to tree establishment, maintenance, and preservation.
- B. American Standard for Nursery Stock: Produced by AmericanHort, this document establishes common techniques for managing the cultivation, sale, and installation of plants. Among other things, this includes standards for: Measuring plants; specifying and stating the size of plants; and determining the proper relationship between height and caliper, or height and width. https://www.americanhort.org/page/standards

Commented [TA52]: This is a new section consolidating in one place the list of approved trees, shrubs, and other vegetation that may be used. Additionally, other relevant documents can be stored here under "Appendices." By including these items within this section as referenced documents they can be updated routinely and as needed based on best practices, appropriate species substitutions (i.e. due to disease or blight), and other considerations.

Commented [TA53]: These documents are were developed by the Livability Board, adapting Huntersville's and Charlotte's ordinances to fit Davidson's needs.

Commented [TA54]: These documents provided additional guidance from third-party sources that can be used in decision-making.

15.2.6 CIVIL PENALTY

In addition to the other remedies cited in this ordinance for the enforcement of its provisions, and pursuant to NCGS 160A-175, the regulations and standards in this ordinance may be enforced through the issuance of civil penalties by the Planning Director.

- A. Procedures for Civil Citations: Subsequent citations for the same violation may be issued by the Planning Director if the offender does not pay the citation (except
 - as otherwise provided in a Warning Situation) after it has been issued, unless the offender has sought an appeal to the actions of the Planning Director through the Board of Adjustment. Once the ten-day warning period has expired, each day which the violation continues shall subject the violator to additional citations to be issued by the Planning Director.
- B. Schedule of Civil Penalties: Unless otherwise provided in this ordinance, the following penalties are hereby established:
 - 1. Warning Citation: Correct Violation Within 10 Days
 - 2. First Citation: \$50.00
 - 3. Second Citation For Same Offense: \$100.00
 - 4. Third And Subsequent Citations For Same Offense: \$500.00
- C. Recovery of Penalties: If the offender fails to pay the civil penalties within fifteen days after having been cited, the Town may recover the penalties in a civil action in the nature of debt.

15.3 SPECIFIC TYPES OF VIOLATIONS

15.3.1 LANDSCAPING VIOLATIONS & PENALTIES

15.3.1.A APPLICABILITY

- A. General: Violations of Section 9 Tree Preservation, Landscaping & Screening shall be subject to penalties, enforcement, and the procedures relating thereto set forth in Section 15.3. Any landscape areas, trees and vegetation preserved or planted as part of an approved landscape plan or permit shall be continually maintained in good condition by the property owner.
- B. Violations:
 - 1. Damage: Failure to comply with the landscaping and maintenance requirements of Section 9 of this ordinance, or the disturbance, damage or removal of any trees or vegetation prohibited by this ordinance, shall constitute a violation. This includes the intentional material damage to, or the intentional material alteration of, any landscaped area, tree, or vegetation required to be planted and/or maintained as part of an approved plan or permit. All violations shall be reported by the property owner to the Planning Director

Commented [TA1]: Section 15 has been reorganized and revised to clarify the replacement process, calibrate penalties, afford non-monetary penalty relief, and link appeals to existing ordinance processes.

1

immediately, prior to any corrective action.

- 2. Failure to Remedy: It shall likewise constitute a violation of this ordinance for a property owner to fail, within a reasonable period of time or as specified by this ordinance, to remedy any material damage to, or alteration of, any landscaped area, tree, or vegetation required to be planted and/or maintained as part of an approved plan or permit, irrespective of whether such damage or alteration was the result of causes beyond the property owner's control, including but not limited to natural forces.
- C. Enforceability: Where a landscaping violation is found to have occurred, the remedies specified in this ordinance shall be enforced and any civil penalties shall be punishable in accordance with the provisions of this ordinance. Note: Violations pursuant to this section are not enforceable under N.C.G.S. 14-4.

15.3.1.B REPLACEMENT

A. Extent: All landscaped areas, trees, and vegetation required by this ordinance which are disturbed or damaged shall be replanted to meet the standards of this ordinance as well as the approved site/master plan or permit. This includes any tree designated for preservation or installation as part of the approved landscape plan or permit that is removed or dies as a result of negligence or natural forces.

B. Replanting:

- New Vegetation: New trees or vegetation required as part of an approved plan that are damaged or die shall be removed and replaced with new vegetation of equal or greater size according to the standards of this ordinance.
- 2. Existing Vegetation: Where the trees or vegetation that have been disturbed or damaged existed on the site at the time the development application was filed, all replacement trees and vegetation shall meet the standards set forth in this ordinance and take into account any unique site conditions as well as significant vegetation remaining within the landscaped area.
 - i. Location: Replantings shall be located within the vicinity of the violation. If the area is too small for sufficient growth, a more suitable location on the site may be selected as permitted by the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist.
 - ii. Vegetation: Damaged or destroyed vegetation in both perimeter and/or interior landscaped/vegetated areas shall be replaced with an equal amount of new vegetation according to the size of vegetation removed. For buffer areas, understory plantings may also be required to restore the disturbed area to meet ordinance requirements.
 - iii. Trees: Any tree with a caliper of at least twelve inches that is damaged or removed shall be replaced with one or more trees, as determined by the Arborist, that have a caliper of at least 2.5 inches and a cumulative caliper equal to or greater than the

Commented [TA2]: The section clarifies the processes and standards governing replacement of disturbed or damaged plantings. It largely includes text already existing in the DPO that has been reorganized.

original tree. Trees less than twelve inches in diameter in developments subject to an approved plan and damaged or destroyed shall be replaced to meet ordinance requirements.

Specimen Trees: Any Specimen Tree removed or damaged such that removal is required, as determined by the Arborist, shall be replaced by one 5-inch caliper tree or three 2.5-inch caliper trees at the discretion of the Arborist.

C. Approval:

- Plan: All new trees and vegetation must be approved by the Planning Director, in consultation with the Arborist. A replanting plan denoting the proposed installation(s) shall be submitted to the Planning Director for approval in consultation with the Arborist. The plan shall take into consideration the development condition of the site, significant vegetation remaining within landscaped areas, and the required replacement of plant materials.
- Board of Adjustment: The Planning Director may elect to present the replanting plan to the Board of Adjustment for final approval, as necessary.
- D. Timeframe: The responsible party shall replace the required vegetation within the current planting season, next planting season, or as approved by the Arborist. If such replacement does not occur within the specified time period, the Landscape Maintenance Bond may be drawn upon in order to pay for the cost of replacement. In such case, the replacement tree(s) and vegetation shall be installed by or at the direction of the Arborist.

15.3.1.C PENALTIES

A. General:

- Responsible Parties: Any person or entity who violates any of the sections of this ordinance, or rules or orders adopted or issued pursuant to these sections, shall be subject to civil penalties as prescribed by this section. The person performing the work, the property owner and the person contracting for the performance shall be jointly and severally liable for any penalty or other enforcement action imposed pursuant to this chapter or other provisions of law on account of work performed in violation of this ordinance.
- Scope: Penalties assessed under this chapter are in addition to and not in lieu of compliance with the requirements of this ordinance.
- B. Penalties: Civil penalties for violations of this chapter shall be assessed pursuant to the following:
 - 1. Required Installations: Failure to plant original or replacement trees and vegetation in accordance with this chapter shall be \$100.00 for each tree and \$50.00 for each shrub/other vegetation not planted. No civil penalty shall be assessed until the person alleged to be in violation has been notified of the violation as provided in Section 15.1. In the event of a failure to comply with the Replacement provisions, the failure to plant each individual tree and/or shrub/vegetation shall constitute a separate, daily and continuing violation from the day the

Commented [TA3]: This standard comes from CLT and has been adapted to require 2.5-inch replantings (rather than 2-inch), consistent with the DPO's minimum caliper requirement.

Commented [TA4]: This provision affords flexibility in determining what timeframe works best for the site based on planting conditions, availability of plants, etc.

Commented [TA5]: This section lays out the monetary and non-monetary options for assessing a landscaping violation(s).

Commented [TA6]: This enables the penalty amount to be levied on both the property owner and the party that executes the work order.

Commented [TA7]: This is intended to take effect only if the violator does not go through with the Replacement process.

3

notice of violation is received.

2. Total Loss: The intentional or grossly negligent injury or damage to, or destruction of, trees and shrubs/vegetation protected by this ordinance that result in the total loss of the tree or shrub/vegetation shall be assessed according to the following:

Penalties for losses in areas regulated by approved plans (Master Plans, Conditional Master Plans, Individual Building or other site plans); in designated tree save or preservation areas; outside of site areas approved for pre-application clearing; or, within the public right-of-way:

Tree: \$500 per Caliper InchShrub: \$100 per Shrub

■ Vegetated Cover: \$10 per SF of Disturbed Area

 Specimen Tree: \$500 Caliper Inch up to 24 inches; \$800 per Caliper Inch over 24 inches.

Note: The maximum civil penalty for each tree injured, damaged or destroyed shall not exceed \$25,000. No notice of violation is needed prior to the assessment of a civil penalty issued pursuant to this subsection.

Penalties for losses in areas not regulated by an approved plan, including permits (i.e., permits filed after cutting or permits incorrectly executed):

Tree:

- 1st Citation: Warning + \$50

- 2nd & Subsequent Citation for Same Offense: \$500 per Tree

Specimen Tree:

- 1st Citation: Warning + \$100

- 2nd & Subsequent Citation for Same Offense: \$1,000 per Tree

3. Partial Damage: The intentional or grossly negligent injury or damage to, or destruction of, trees and shrubs/vegetation protected by this ordinance that do not result in the total loss of the trees or shrub/vegetation shall be assessed according to the following:

Penalties for partial damage in areas regulated by approved plans (Master Plans, Conditional Master Plans, Individual Building or other site plans); in designated tree save or preservation areas; or, within the public right-of-way:

Tree: \$500 per TreeShrub: \$50 per Shrub

Vegetated Cover: \$5 per SF of Disturbed Area

■ Specimen Tree: \$1,000 per Tree

No notice of violation is needed prior to the assessment of a civil penalty issued pursuant to this subsection.

4. Insufficient Replanting Area: If the tree violation occurred in a preservation area in which it is determined that the required

Commented [TA8]: These penalties apply in areas that were required to be preserved as part of an approved plan but were not executed correctly (i.e. work was incorrectly done in these areas resulting in tree/shrub loss). The values are derived from data available in the Davidson Street Tree Inventory completed in 2018.

Commented [TA9]: This was added to clarify that unapproved pre-application clearing may be subject to civil penalty; this is consistent with other communities. See the comment for 9.3.3.8.7 for further information.

Commented [TA10]: Example: A 36-inch tree is removed; the Arborist determines it was a specimen tree (per the Environmental Inventory). The violator pays \$500 x 24 for the first 24 inches (\$12,000) and \$800 x 12 for the next 12 inches (\$9,600) for a total of \$19,600.

Commented [TA11]: Other communities also employ maximum amounts and their values are likewise within this range.

Commented [TA12]: These penalties apply in areas that were not subject to an approved plan (i.e. a building permit for which a tree permit was not also filed as required, or when a tree permit's approved scope of work is not executed correctly). The values are derived from data available in the Davidson Street Tree Inventory completed in 2018.

Commented [TA13]: A tiered approach is proposed that distinguishes the penalties for initial and subsequent offences. This is how the ordinance handles other types of penalties (see 15.2.6. above).

Commented [TA14]: These values mirror the preceding values but are calibrated to be based on each tree - not caliper - due to the Partial Damage nature of this penalty; the Vegetated Cover was reduced to \$5.00/SF. The Specimen Tree values were unchanged based on the value of these trees.

Commented [TA15]: This concept comes from Raleigh. This would be in addition to any other applicable violation penalty.

replacement tree(s) cannot be adequately replanted due to insufficient area, a replacement fee equal to \$100 per caliper inch of each replacement tree shall be paid to the Tree Fund.

- 5. Tree Protection Measures: Failure to install or maintain required tree protection measures in accordance with Section 9 shall be punishable up to \$1,000 per violation. No civil penalty shall be assessed until the person has been notified of the violation as provided in Section 9. If the site is not brought into compliance within the time specified in the notice of violation, a civil penalty may be assessed from the date the notice of violation is received until it is adequately corrected, as confirmed by inspection. The failure to install the required tree protection measures shall constitute a separate, daily and continuing violation. Injury or damage to, or destruction of, trees in the tree protection zone and tree save area resulting from the failure to install or maintain required tree protection measures in accordance with Section 9 constitutes a separate violation which may subject the violator to any other applicable penalty set forth in this section.
- 6. Miscellaneous Violations: Any other action that constitutes a violation of this chapter may subject the violator to a civil penalty of \$50, and each day of continuing violation shall constitute a separate violation. However, the maximum amount of the penalty shall not exceed \$1.000.
- 7. Non-Monetary Penalties: At the discretion of the Planning Director in consultation with the Arborist, alternative non-monetary penalties or replanting strategies may be assessed in addition to or in lieu of any monetary penalties prescribed under this section. Plantings shall be approved in accordance with the Replacement provisions of this ordinance.
- 8. Penalties Cumulative: The civil penalties provided for in this Section 9.10 may be assessed cumulatively. By way of example only, if a Specimen Tree and the vegetated cover surrounding it are damaged due to inadequate tree protection measures, a total of at least three separate penalties may be assessed: (i) one for partial loss of the Specimen Tree; (ii) one for partial loss of the vegetative cover; and (iii) one for the failure to install or maintain required tree protection
- C. Assessment, Notice of Violation, & Payment: The Planning Director, in consultation with the Arborist, shall determine the amount of the civil penalty and shall notify the person who is assessed the civil penalty of the amount of the penalty and the reason for assessing the penalty. The notice of violation shall be served as provided in Section 15.1 and shall direct the violator to either pay the assessment or contest the assessment as specified in this ordinance. If payment of assessed penalties is not received within thirty (30) days after it is due, or if no request for a hearing has been made as provided in this ordinance, the assessment shall be considered a debt due and owing to the Town, and the matter shall be referred to the town attorney for institution of a civil action to recover the amount of the debt. The civil action may be brought in the Mecklenburg County Superior Court or in any other court of competent jurisdiction.

Commented [TA16]: This provision is new and affords flexibility in levying a penalty wherein financial hardship exists or unintentional/not grossly negligent actions result in a violation; it allows alternative approaches to replacement and payment.

Commented [TA17]: This section makes clear the process for issuing and resolving a violation.

- D. Civil Action for Unpaid Assessment: A civil action must be filed within three years of the date the assessment was due. An assessment that is not contested is due when the violator is served with a notice of violation. A violation that is contested is due at the conclusion of the administrative and judicial review of the assessment.
- E. Use of Civil Penalties Collected: Civil penalties collected pursuant to this section shall be credited to the Tree Fund as a nontax revenue and shall be used to further the purposes, intent and requirements of this ordinance as prescribed by the Board of Commissioners resolution establishing the Tree Fund.
- F. Criminal Penalties: Any person who knowingly or willfully violates any section of this chapter shall be guilty of a class 2 misdemeanor and may, upon conviction thereof, be subject to punishment as provided in section 2-21. This remedy is in addition to any civil penalties that may be assessed.

15.3.1.D APPEALS & VARIANCES

- A. General: Any party dissatisfied with a decision of the Town adversely affecting such party in the application or enforcement of this ordinance, including notices of violations and assessments of civil penalties, may request a public hearing before the Board of Adjustment.
- B. Request: The issuance of a decision, including a notice of violation or assessment of a civil penalty by the Town, shall entitle the party subject to the decision or responsible for the violation (petitioner) to a hearing before the Board of Adjustment if such party submits a written request for a hearing to the Planning Director within thirty (30) days of the receipt of a decision, notice of violation or assessment of a civil penalty. The right to a hearing shall be communicated by the Town as part of its decision, notice of violation, or assessment.
- C. Procedure: Appeals and variances shall follow the rules and procedures set out in NCGS Section 160 A-388, as amended, and Section 14.18 of the Davidson Planning Ordinance.

Commented [TA18]: This section clarifies the process for seeking relief from the assessed violation. It links to existing processes in the DPO, namely Board of Adjustment procedures.



Agenda Title: Kistler Farm Rd. Master Plan (Davidson Farms)

Summary: Senior Planner Trey Akers will provide a brief overview of the proposed master plan on

Kistler Farm Rd. (Davidson Farms). Documents are available on the **project website**.

No board action is required.



Agenda Title: Quarterly Permit Report

Summary: Senior Planner Travis Johnson will provide an overview of permit activities the past few months. No board action is required.