

MEMO

Date: August 28, 2018

To: Board of Commissioners

From: Jason Burdette, Planning Director

Re: Davidson Planning Ordinance Proposed Text Amendments, Staff Analysis

1. TEXT AMENDMENTS

TEXT CHANGES – PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

The following is a list of proposed text changes to the Town of Davidson Planning Ordinance (DPO). The listed changes are being undertaken to address the compatibility of building types in the Village Infill Planning Area. Additional changes that occur outside of Sections 2 and 4 are necessary to ensure that cross-references related to the proposed changes are consistent across the DPO.

PROPOSED TEXT CHANGES						
PAGE	SECTION	TITLE	ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION		
	SECTION 2 — PLANNING AREAS					
2-5	2.1.4.D	BUILDING TYPES	The text amendments propose adding a new building type, Mixed Village housing, which must be included in the list of building type general descriptions.	Add a description of Mixed Village housing to the list of building types.		
TEXT CHANGES			Old Text: N/A [Does Not Exist] New Text: Mixed Village Housing (Village Walkup, Village Courtyard): Mixed Village housing includes well-scaled buildings designed to fit within the context of surrounding residential or mixed-use neighborhoods. These buildings are a minimum of two stories, include a minimum of four to a maximum of eighteen units, feature individual or shared entrances, and provide walkable access to nearby destinations for multiple tenants. Examples of Mixed Village buildings include walkup and courtyard dwellings.			
2-19	2.2.4.A	VILLAGE INFILL PLANNING AREA DESCRIPTION	The text amendments propose to encourage a diversity of building types in Master Plan proposals.	Add a paragraph listing the building type requirements applicable to Master Plan projects greater than three		

				acres within the planning area.
			Old Text: A range of housing types is encouraged. Small retail establishments are permitted	
TEXT CHANGES			New Text: A range of housing types is encouraged: For Master Plan projects on lots over three acres a mix of at least two different building types is encouraged. [New Paragraph] Additionally, small retail establishments are permitted	
2-21	2.2.4.C	VILLAGE INFILL PLANNING AREA BUILDING TYPES TABLE 2-11	The text amendments propose to address compatibility within the Village Infill Planning Area by removing one building type and adding an alternative building type.	In Table 2-11 Building Types, remove Multi-family from the Building Type column and replace with the Mixed Village building type.
TEXT CHANGES			Old Text: Building Type/Multi-family – 2 Stories/37 Feet New Text: Mixed Village – 2 Stories Min./2 Stories Max.	
			The text amendments	□ In Table 2-13 Setbacks,
2-22	2.2.4.D	VILLAGE INFILL PLANNING AREA SETBACKS TABLE 2-13	propose to address compatibility within the Village Infill Planning Area, which includes applying context-sensitive setback criteria to the Mixed Village building type so that these buildings adhere to the same criteria as singlefamily Detached Houses and therefore reinforce a street's existing character.	remove Multi-family from the Building Types column and replace with the Mixed Village building type. Adjust the Mixed Village setbacks to be consistent with single-family Detached Houses.
			Old Text: Building Type/Multi-family; Setbacks Front (5' Min., 10' Max.), Side (10' Min., N/A Max.), Rear (5' Min., N/A Max.).	
TEXT CHANGES			New Text: Mixed Village; Setbacks Front († Min., †† Max.), Side († Min., †† Max.), Rear (20' Min., N/A Max.).	
		SECTION 4 – SITE & E	BUILDING DESIGN STANDARD	S
4-2	4.3.1.A.2	STANDARDS: PEDESTRIAN & VEHICULAR ACCESS	The text amendments propose to address compatibility within the Village Infill Planning Area by adding Mixed Village housing, which must be subject to the same standards for fronting streets and public spaces.	Add Mixed Village housing to the list of building required to front public streets and public spaces.
TEXT CHANGES			Old Text: Detached, attached, townhouse, and multi-family buildings shall have the primary pedestrian entry facing a	

			fronting, primary street, a central courtyard, or pedestrian way. New Text: Detached, attached, townhouse, mixed village, and multi-family buildings shall have the primary pedestrian entry facing a fronting, primary street, a central courtyard, or pedestrian way.	
4-14	4.5.4	MIXED VILLAGE BUILDING TYPE	The text amendments propose to address compatibility within the Village Infill Planning Area by adding Mixed Village housing, which must be described and assigned criteria to govern these buildings' design.	Add Mixed Village housing to the list of building types and include relevant criteria to ensure their compatibility with surrounding residential and mixed-use neighborhoods.
TEXT CHANGES		walkable access to nearby design Village buildings include walkated described below. All Mixed Vithe Master Plan or Individual and Design Review Board appoximated A. Village Walkup: Small-scated 12 units that typically fear corridor. B. Village Courtyard: Small-10-18 units arranged around individual or shared entrained and individual or shared entrained buildings to front the open space and amen buildings must include proportions shall feat minimum 1:2 height to shall be at least one tintwo times the width of the create a sense of humber 3. Building and outdoor floor shall face the strinclude a porch, stoop provides a transition for sidewalk to the private unit. The primary pede of courtyard buildings fronting street. Units and the courty of the street of the private unit. The primary pede of courtyard buildings fronting street. Units and the court of the courty of the private units are primary pede of courtyard buildings fronting street. Units and the court of the courty of the private units are primary pede of courty of the courty of	ding: Mixed Village housing designed to fit within the ential or mixed-use gs are a minimum of two chared entrances, and provide stinations. Examples of Mixed up and courtyard dwellings, as llage buildings are subject to Building processes as well as proval. The state of the street and to frame common described and a courtyard and including ances. The street and to frame common described and courtyard and courtyard are a maximum of 2:1 or to width ratio. Courtyard depth mes the width but not exceed of the courtyard opening.	

			common stairwells shall also have access from the courtyard or the fronting street. Exterior corridors fronting the street are not allowed. 4. Generally, parking shall be located behind the building where required.		
SECTION 8 – PARKING					
8-3	8.3.2	EXCEPTIONS TO PARKING REQUIREMENTS	The proposed Mixed Village building type is similar to the attached and townhouse building types and therefore should be included in the list of building types able to meet parking criteria in a variety of ways.	Add the Mixed Village building type to the list featured in 8.3.2.	
TEXT CHANGES			Old Text: Detached, attached, and townhouse building types may meet or contribute to meeting motor vehicle parking requirements with on-street parking if abutting portion of the fronting street is designed to meet the parking needs of the residential buildings. New Text: Detached, attached, mixed village, and townhouse building types may meet or contribute to meeting motor vehicle parking requirements with on-street parking if abutting portion of the fronting street is designed to meet the parking needs of the residential buildings.		
		SECTION	16 – DEFINITIONS		
16-11	16.3	DEFINITIONS, C	The ordinance language must be updated to be consistent with the addition of new courtyard standards in Section 4.	Include a reference to Section 4 of the ordinance.	
TEXT CHANGES			Old Text: Courtyard: For single-family detached building types, courtyard means an unroofed area that is bound on at least three sides by roofed interior spaces, provided the two opposing walls are each at least 10 feet in depth. New Text: Courtyard: For single-family detached building types, courtyard means an unroofed area that is bound on at least three sides by roofed interior spaces, provided the two opposing walls are each at least 10 feet in depth. For non-single family detached building types, see Section 4 for courtyard standards.		

2. PUBLIC PLANS AND POLICIES

The proposed text changes are consistent with the existing policy and ordinance frameworks adopted by the town. With the removal of the Multi-family building type and the inclusion of the Mixed Village building types in the Village Infill Planning Area, the standards seek to ensure the compatibility of existing and future development while maintaining the character of existing streets. All proposed changes meet the requirements set forth in Davidson Planning Ordinance 1.5.1 Implementation of

Adopted Plans & Policies: "Any amendments to, or actions pursuant to, this ordinance should be consistent with these adopted plans and policies, as amended."

3. PLANNING BOARD RECOMMENDATION & PUBLIC HEARING

On October 30, 2017 the Planning Board informally reviewed the changes before formally reviewing the proposed changes and offering a recommendation at the board's November 27, 2017 meeting. At that time the proposed changes included a requirement that Master Plan proposals greater than three acres provide a mix of at least two building types. Additionally, the height for Mixed Village was listed as two stories or 37 feet.

The Planning Board's consistency statement noted the importance of preserving Davidson's character and sense of community as well as the importance of encouraging diversity of all economic levels by providing a mixture of housing types and prices in every neighborhood. The board voted 9-0 to recommend adoption of the proposed amendments.

At the Board of Commissioners hearing citizens expressed concern about the mandatory inclusion of building types in master plans of a specific size. This language was subsequently removed from the proposed text amendments.

4. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

The proposed changes aim to ensure the compatibility of building types within the Village Infill Planning Area by implementing context-sensitive standards. Specific explanations are provided in the table above. These changes are recommended for approval in order to accurately reflect the proposed Planning Area standards for each parcel.