

# ORDINANCE 2017-10 MISCELLANEOUS TEXT AMENDMENTS

**TOWN OF DAVIDSON BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS (the "Town Board")** adopts the following text amendments to the **Davidson Planning Ordinance (DPO)**. The listed changes below are being undertaken to provide necessary clarifications, correct inadvertent omissions, and to address inconsistencies with statewide legislation passed since the DPO was approved by the Board of Commissioners and came into effect on May 1, 2015. Proposals are organized by page number.

		PROP	OSED TEXT CHANGES	
PAGE	SECTION	TITLE	ISSUE	PROPOSED ACTION
		SECTION 2	– PLANNING AREAS	
2-3	2.1.3	PERMITTED USES	Parks + Open Space need to be identified as allowable uses in all Planning Areas.	Modify 2.1.3 to include Parks + Open Space as an explicit category of Permitted Uses.
			Old Text: N/A [Does Not Exis	t]
	TE)	KT CHANGES	New Text: 2.1.3.H Park & Op and open spaces are permitte types and open space must c listed in Section 7.	ed in all Planning Areas. Park
2-13	2.2.2	VILLAGE COMMERCE BUILDING TYPES TABLE 2-5	The BOC expressed the need for transitions in building height between commercial areas and residential areas to be examined, and emphasized that multi-story transitions should be lessened.	Reduce Village Commerce max. Building height to 4 stories.
			Old Text: Storefront: 5 Storie	es Max.
	TE>	KT CHANGES	New Text: Storefront: 4 Stor	ies Max.
2-13	2.2.2.D.2	VILLAGE COMMERCE OPEN SPACE REQUIREMENTS	The BOC expressed the need to consider whether open space requirements were appropriate for all Planning Areas.	Modify the Village Commerce requirements to feature a proportional amount of required open space.

	TE)	kt changes	Old Text: N/A [Does Not Exist New Text: The following table open space for the Village Cor Required % of Development -	e establishes the required mmerce Planning Area:
2-17	2.2.3	VILLAGE EDGE BUILDING TYPES TABLE 2-8	The BOC expressed the need for transitions in building height between commercial areas and residential areas to be examined, and emphasized that multi-story transitions should be lessened.	Reduce Village Edge max. Building height to 3 stories.
	TEX	(T CHANGES	Old Text: Workplace, Storefro	
2-17	2.2.3.D.2	VILLAGE EDGE OPEN SPACE REQUIREMENTS	The BOC expressed the need to consider whether open space requirements were appropriate for all Planning Areas.	Modify the Village Edge requirements to feature a proportional amount of required open space.
	TEX	KT CHANGES	Old Text: N/A [Does Not Exist New Text: The following table open space for the Village Edg of Development - Min. 5%, Ma	e establishes the required ge Planning Area: Required %
2-21	2.2.4.D.2	VILLAGE INFILL OPEN SPACE REQUIREMENTS	The BOC expressed the need to consider whether open space requirements were appropriate for all Planning Areas.	Modify the Village Infill requirements to feature a proportional amount of required open space.
2-21		OPEN SPACE	need to consider whether open space requirements were appropriate for all	requirements to feature a proportional amount of required open space.  ] e establishes the required Il Planning Area: Required %
2-21		OPEN SPACE REQUIREMENTS	need to consider whether open space requirements were appropriate for all Planning Areas.  Old Text: N/A [Does Not Exist New Text: The following table open space for the Village Infi	requirements to feature a proportional amount of required open space.  ] e establishes the required Il Planning Area: Required %
	TE)	OPEN SPACE REQUIREMENTS  CT CHANGES  CENTRAL BUSINESS DISTRICT OPEN SPACE	need to consider whether open space requirements were appropriate for all Planning Areas.  Old Text: N/A [Does Not Exist New Text: The following table open space for the Village Infi of Development - Min. 10%, Note that the need to consider whether open space requirements were appropriate for all	requirements to feature a proportional amount of required open space.  e establishes the required ll Planning Area: Required % //ax. N/A  Modify the Central Business District requirements to feature a proportional amount of required open space.  e establishes the required siness District Planning Area:

			standards were inadvertently omitted from the Lakeshore Planning Area text.	
	TE)	(T CHANGES	Old Text: N/A [Does Not Exist New Text: The following are a standard(s) in the Lakeshore I development along the lake s lake shoreline for public use. This area shall be preserved. The Town for general public usershed Overlay District fo surface in the shoreline buffe	additional development Planning Area: New hall retain 100 percent of the The existing vegetation within this area may be dedicated to se. Refer to Section 17 - r restrictions on pervious
2-35	2.2.7	NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER 1 BUILDING TYPES TABLE 2-22	The BOC expressed the need for transitions in building height between commercial areas and residential areas to be examined, and emphasized that multi-story transitions should be lessened.	Reduce Neighborhood Center 1 max. Building height to 3 stories.
	TEX	kt changes	Old Text: Live/Work, Storefro Stories Max. New Text: Townhouse, Multi- Institutional, Workplace: 3 Sto	The state of the s
2-45	2.2.9	NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES BUILDING TYPES TABLE 2-30	The BOC expressed the need for transitions in building height between commercial areas and residential areas to be examined, and emphasized that multi-story transitions should be lessened.	Reduce Neighborhood Services max. Building height to 3 stories.
2-45		BUILDING TYPES	need for transitions in building height between commercial areas and residential areas to be examined, and emphasized that multi-story transitions	Services max. Building height to 3 stories.  nt: 4 Stories Max.
2-45		BUILDING TYPES TABLE 2-30	need for transitions in building height between commercial areas and residential areas to be examined, and emphasized that multi-story transitions should be lessened.  Old Text: Live/Work, Storefro	Services max. Building height to 3 stories.  nt: 4 Stories Max.
	TEX 2.2.9.D.2	BUILDING TYPES TABLE 2-30  CT CHANGES  NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES OPEN SPACE  CT CHANGES	need for transitions in building height between commercial areas and residential areas to be examined, and emphasized that multi-story transitions should be lessened.  Old Text: Live/Work, Storefro New Text: Live/Work, Storefro The BOC expressed the need to consider whether open space requirements were appropriate for all	Services max. Building height to 3 stories.  Int: 4 Stories Max.  Int: 4 Stories or 42 Feet Max.  Modify the Neighborhood Services requirements to feature a proportional amount of required open space.  Be establishes the required Services Planning Area:  Min. 5%, Max. N/A

			<ol> <li>That fences should be 2/3 not 1/3 solid (this is a typographical error);</li> <li>The allowed fence heights for properties in the Scenic Byway Overlay District.</li> </ol>	District. Additionally, reorganize the section to include titled/numbered sub-sections: All Planning Areas; Scenic Byway Overlay District; and, Retaining Walls.
			Old Text: Fences, hedges, and purposes of fencing, hedges, a maximum height of retaining	
	TE)	KT CHANGES	of rail height not to exceed	than two-thirds solidfor es, and garden walls. ict: For parcels subject to the within the Scenic Byway all be constructed with a top 48 - 52 inches. Supporting ional six to eight inches above exceed 58 inches total. related exceptions may be Director.
4-6	4.4.1.A.3	BUILDING HEIGHT	The DPO does not clearly define the extent of height measurements to top of building in regards to parapets, which should not be included in the measurements.	Add "parapet" to list 3a. Building Elements, describing features that are not included in building height measurements.
			Old Text: Unless specifically s ordinance, the height required ordinance shall not apply to:	
			a. Building elements (such as cupolas, domes, etc.) which a occupancy;	' '
	IΕ)	kt Changes	New Text: Unless specifically ordinance, the height required ordinance shall not apply to:	
			a. Building elements (such as cupolas, domes, parapets, etch human occupancy;	
4-7	4.4.1.C.2.D	FAÇADE ARTICULATION	In order for the building height to be treated equally across projects, the DPO must clarify that parapets should be proportional to the height of the building.	Add language clarifying that parapets must be designed to be congruent with the overall building design.
	TE	KT CHANGES	Old Text: 2d. Stepped parape	ts.

			New Text: 2d. Stepped parap proportional to the height of	·
		SECTION 6 – SUBDIVISION	N & INFRASTRUCTURE STAN	NDARDS
6-18	6.8.1.A	SIDEWALKS REQUIRED LOCATION	The DPO must clarify when and where sidewalk construction is required as part of the development process.	Revise the introduction to 6.8.1.A to indicate when and where sidewalk construction is required as part of the building permit process.
			Old Text: N/A [Does Not Exis	
	TE)	KT CHANGES	New Text: Except as provided development requiring building provide sidewalk facilities alo accordance with this ordinant following:  - Existing residential or non-construction affecting less the Permits for: Accessory struction work; residential work; pools; or, retaining wall	ng permit approval shall ang the property frontage in ce. This does not apply to the residential development an 50% of the building; actures; detached garages; or non-residential interior
6-22	6.10	TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ANALYSIS	The BOC recommended the exploration of targeted changes to the Town's TIA requirements to ensure accurate analysis and broader applicability.	Revise the TIA requirements to require analysis to occur during months when schools are in session, and to require all projects to meet and discuss transportation improvements related to each proposal.
			Old Text: N/A [Various]	
			New Text: See Exhibit B at th	e end of this document.
		SECTION 7 –	PARKS & OPEN SPACE	
7-2	7.2	PARKS & OPEN SPACE DEDICATION & CONSERVATION REQUIREMENTS	The DPO does not currently stipulate to what types of development proposals open space requirements apply.	Revise the text to indicate which specific development types must meet the open space requirements.
			Old Text: Any person developer property for residential purports park dedication and open spars follows:	
			I	ort of Individual Building, Master Plan processes are requirements specified

7-6	7.4.2	TYPES OF PARKS & PUBLIC SPACES	New open space requirements proposed for 7/11/17 mean that certain types of open space are desired in certain planning areas (i.e. plazas or squares in more urban areas).  Old Text: N/A [Does Not Exis	Provide a chart indicating what types of open/park spaces must be used to meet the min. open space requirements for each planning area.
			New Text: See Exhibit A at th	
7-7	7.4.B.E	PLAZA REQUIREMENTS	The DPO is not clear on whether space dedicated to outdoor/café seating can contribute to open space/plaza requirements.	Include language clarifying that outdoor/café seating can contribute to but not satisfy the entirety of plaza requirements.
			Old Text: Plazas shall provide seating, as determined by the	
	TE)	KT CHANGES	New Text: Plazas shall provide seating, as determined by the of outdoor café seating areas this requirement.	e Planning Director. A portion
		SECTIO	N 8 – PARKING	
8-8	8.5.B.4	PARKING STANDARDS LOTS MORE THAN 60 FEET IN WIDTH	Item 4. states that the criteria do not apply to RPA properties, which is not accurate.	Remove Item 4.
	TE>	KT CHANGES	Old Text: The requirements of Detached Home building type reserve planning area.  New Text: N/A [Removed]	
8-12	8.7	DRIVEWAYS	An independent Driveway Permit is referenced but is not defined and does not exist in Section 14; rather, driveway permits are handled as Building Permits.	Revise the text to refer to the Building Permit process.
	TE)	KT CHANGES	Old Text:or as an independance according to the procedures  New Text:or as an independance according to the procedures	outlined in Section 14.
		SECTION 14 – ADMI	NISTRATION & PROCEDUR	ES
14-23	14.13	BUILDING PERMITS	The DPO must clarify that sidewalk construction is required as part of the building permit process.	Revise the introduction to 14.13 indicate when and where sidewalk construction is required as part of the building permit process.
	TE>	KT CHANGES	Old Text: The Town of Davidual building permit applications of the state of the sta	

			other structure (except as ot ordinance) shall be erected, i or structurally altered, as per New Text:as per Section 1 this ordinance, all developme approval shall provide any re property frontage in accorda Section 6 for further informa	moved, extended, or enlarged Section 14. 4. Except as provided for in ent requiring building permit quired facilities along the nce with this ordinance. See
14-33	14.15.9	FINAL PLAT	Plats are being submitted without any/clear statement of purpose.	Modify Final Plat documentation criteria to require a Purpose Statement on each Final Plat explaining the purpose of the plat and/or RTAP.
			Old Text: N/A [Does Not Exis	t]
	TE>	(T CHANGES	New Text: 1. PURPOSE: In the purpose of the plat as the first purpose should state:	
14-34	14.15.9.F	FINAL PLAT	Lots hosting affordable housing units must be shown on the Final Plat, which is stated in 5.2.B.3 but is not mentioned in the documentation requirements for Final Plats.	List affordable unit lot identification as a requirement of Final Plat documents.
	TE)	(T CHANGES	alley lines, lot lines, lot and b building setback lines, easem	nents, reservations, on-site is dedicated to public purpose oses.  ot addresses, lots designated

# EXHIBITS

- Exhibit A: Table 7-1: Park Types Permitted to Count Towards Minimum Open Space Requirement
- Exhibit B: Draft Transportation Impact Analysis Changes

#### **EXHIBIT B: DRAFT TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ANALYSIS CHANGES**

### TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ANALYSIS (TIA) - Proposed Draft Changes, June 2017

#### **6.10.1 APPLICABILITY**

**Development Threshold:** A Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) is required for all development applications that meet or exceed any of the following thresholds:

SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL LOTS*	MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL UNITS**	SCHOOLS	COMMERCIAL
50	50	All new and expansions of existing facilities	10,000 SF

<sup>\*</sup> Applies to detached house building types (See Section 2)

A Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) will also be required if:

- The proposed development would increase the town's population by one percent or greater (based upon current US Census data and average household size);
- The proposed development expects to create one hundred (100) or more peak hour vehicle trips and/or five hundred (500) or more daily vehicle trips;
- The proposed development includes driveways connecting to existing roadways with a level of service of E or F.

All other developments may be required by the Planning Director to provide a TIA.

A draft TIA must be completed and submitted to the town thirty (30) days prior to any public input session and/or required public meeting.

#### A. TRANSPORTATION REVIEW MEETING

All projects of twenty (20) or more lots and/or twenty (20) dwelling units and/or all nonresidential projects of any size are required to attend a transportation review meeting with the Planning Director. The applicant shall assemble the following information (pre-scoping package) and submit it to the Town a minimum of ten (10) business days prior to the scheduled transportation review meeting. If necessary, NCDOT will be included in the transportation review meeting.

All pre-review submittal packages shall include the following items (as applicable):

- **1.** Site Plan (to scale) shall include:
  - a. Parking count and any carpool or rideshare parking spaces
  - b. Location and number of bicycle parking spaces
  - c. Location of any transit stops (within 500ft of project site)
  - d. Sidewalks, greenways, and/or multi-use paths
  - e. Adjoining parcels (listing their parcel ID and planning areas)
  - f. Location of any existing stub outs and/or ROW preserved for connections (Including roads, greenways, multi-use paths, and sidewalks) on site and on all adjoining parcels

<sup>\*\*</sup> Applies to all residential units in buildings other than detached house building types (See Section 2)

- g. Proposed driveway locations and dimensions
- 2. Vicinity map (See Section 14)
- 3. Construction timeline
- **4.** List/Map of study area intersections in accordance with Section 6 of the Davidson Planning Ordinance
- 5. Location of existing and proposed bicycle and pedestrian facilities
- 6. Location of existing and proposed transit facilities and routes
- 7. Location and count of parking (vehicular and bicycle) within ¼ mile of project site.
- **8.** Transportation Demand Management (TDM) policies to be included as part of project. Ref: (https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/tdm/)
- **9.** Draft trip generation table for the proposed land uses and intensities.
  - a. The Planning Director may require the inclusion of internal capture, transit capture (if any), and pass-by calculations. The applicant will be notified no later than 48 hours before the scheduled scoping meeting if this information is required.
  - b. The Planning Director may require a draft trip distribution and assignment (separate trip distributions are needed for each land use proposed) The applicant will be notified no later than 48 hours before scheduled scoping meeting if this information is required.
    - \*The Town has a list of approved vendors that are able to provide trip generation calculations by request. All work must be paid for by the applicant.
- 10. Development phasing plan

The Town shall provide the applicant a list of approved developments within the study area, and any approved/funded (but not yet constructed) transportation facility projects to be included in the TIA.

#### **B. TIA DETERMINATION**

Upon completion of a review meeting, Town staff will provide the applicant a transportation review determination in writing. Additional information may be required.

If the review determines that a TIA is required, preparation of the draft TIA will begin. A transportation consultant shall be selected from the Town's pre-approved list of on-call consultants. The town, TIA consultant, and applicant will confirm the TIA scope through a Memorandum of Understanding.

# C. ALTERNATIVES TO TIA

If the proposed development schematic design produces between one and 50 lots and/or between one and 50 dwelling units, and/or a new commercial structure of less than 10,000 square feet, the developer shall choose one of the following:

- 1. A Transportation Impact Analysis as described in this section; or
- 2. A contribution to the implementation of the Connectivity and Traffic Calming Plan. The contribution will directly correlate with the number of proposed units/lots/square footage/daily trips. All developments will pay the same price for dwelling units in each range.
  - a. For example, if a development is 21 units, then you would pay \$500 for 20 units and \$400 for the next 1 unit making the total contribution \$10,400 for a 21-unit development.

DWELLING UNITS	FEE
< 20 du	\$500
21 - 40 du	\$400
41 - 50 du	\$300

New commercial developments less than 10,000 square feet and/or expansions of existing structures shall pay \$1 per additional/new conditioned square footage towards the implementation of the Connectivity and Traffic Calming Plan.

## **6.10.2 TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS**

- **4. Traffic Counts Timeframe:** Traffic counts must be conducted when Davidson College and CMS schools are in session. Traffic counts conducted during holidays, school breaks, or during inclement weather will not be considered as valid counts and will be rejected by Town Staff.
- **6.10.2.C.b.** The trip generation counts shall be taken between 6 to 9 AM and 4 to 7 PM to verify a local, more accurate trip rate. If a school is within one quarter mile (.25) of the project site, then the PM counts shall be taken from 2 to 7pm; and [continue existing DPO text].

Adopted on the 11th of July	y 2017
Attest:	
Carmen Clemsic	
Town Clerk	
John M. Woods	
Mayor	